



भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 51]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, विसम्बर 21, 1974/अग्रहायण 30, 1896

No. 51] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 21, 1974/AGRAHAYANA 30, 1896

इस भाग में सिम्ट पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके ।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ राज्य क्षेत्र प्रशासनों को छोड़कर)

केन्द्रीय प्राधिकारियों द्वारा जारी किये गये सार्वजनिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India
(other than the Ministry of Defence) by Central Authorities
(other than the Administrations of Union Territories)

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 14 अक्टूबर, 1974

जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है ।

[सं० बिहार-वि०सं०/286/72(131)]

ए० एन० सैन, सचिव

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

ORDER

New Delhi, the 14th October, 1974

का०प्र० 3340—यतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि मार्च, 1972 में हुए बिहार विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन के लिए, 286-जमशेदपुर पश्चिम निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री एम० आर० मुखर्जी, नं० 44 बी० एम० पी० बोलमूरी, जमशेदपुर-3 (बिहार) लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदधीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं ;

और, यतः उक्त उम्मीदवार ने, उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी, अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण प्रयत्न स्वीकृत नहीं किया है, और, निर्वाचन आयोग का यह भी समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है ;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्वारा उक्त श्री एम० आर० मुखर्जी को ससद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य के विधान-सभा अथवा विधान-परिषद के सदस्य चुने

S.O. 3340.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri M. R. Mukherjee, 44 No. D.M.P, Golmuri Jamshedpur-3 who was a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from 286-Jamshedpur West constituency held in March, 1972 has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rule made thereunder;

And whereas, the said candidate even after the due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri M. R. Mukherjee to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/286/72(131)]

A. N. SEN, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 नवम्बर, 1974

क्र० प्र० 3341.—लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 22 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, निर्वाचन आयोग नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ 2 में यथाविनिर्दिष्ट केरल सरकार के आफिसरों में से प्रत्येक को, ऐसे सरकारी आफिसर अथवा आफिसरों के सामने उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट परिमीनन आयोग द्वारा अपने आदेश सं० 18, तारीख 11 जुलाई, 1974 द्वारा यथा अवधारित और भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खण्ड 3 (ii), तारीख 27 जुलाई, 1974 के अध्याधारण अंक में प्रकाशित केरल राज्य के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र के रिटर्निंग आफिसर की उनके कृत्यों के पालन में सहायता करने के लिये एतद्वारा नियुक्त करना है :—

सारणी

संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटर्निंग आफिसर	सहायक रिटर्निंग आफिसर
1	2
1. कामरागोड संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटर्निंग आफिसर	1. उप कलक्टर, कन्नानोर (साधारण), कन्नानोर। 2. राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, कासरागोड। 3. उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), कन्नानोर। 4. सहायक विकास आयुक्त, कन्नानोर। 5. अपर उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार) कन्नानोर। 6. उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), कन्नानोर।
2. कन्नानोर संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटर्निंग आफिसर	1. उप कलक्टर (साधारण), कन्नानोर। 2. उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), कन्नानोर। 3. सहकारी समितियों के उप रजिस्ट्रार (साधारण), कन्नानोर। 4. राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, सेलीचेरी। 5. वन-खण्ड पदाधिकारी, मनानटोडी। 6. उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), कन्नानोर।
3. बड़ागारा संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटर्निंग आफिसर	6. उप कलक्टर (साधारण), कोझीकोडे। 2. उप कलक्टर (राजस्व वसूली), कन्नानोर। 3. राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, कोझीकोडे। 4. जिला प्रदाय अधिकारी, कोझीकोडे। 5. उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), कोझीकोडे।

1	2
4. कालीकट संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटर्निंग आफिसर	1. उप कलक्टर (साधारण), कोझीकोडे। 2. विशेष उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधिग्रहण) (केरल राज्य विद्युत बोर्ड), कोझीकोडे। 3. उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), कोझीकोडे। 4. वन-खण्ड पदाधिकारी, कोझीकोडे। 5. उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), कोझीकोडे।
5. मन्जेरी संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटर्निंग आफिसर	1. उप कलक्टर (साधारण), मालापुरम। 2. उप कलक्टर (राजस्व वसूली), कोझीकोडे। 3. वन खण्ड पदाधिकारी, निलाम्बुर। 4. अपर उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), मालापुरम। 5. उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), मालापुरम।
6. पोन्नानी संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटर्निंग आफिसर	1. उप कलक्टर (साधारण), मालापुरम। 2. उप कलक्टर, (भूमि सुधार), मालापुरम। 3. जिला प्रदाय अधिकारी, मालापुरम। 4. राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, पेन्नि-थालमान्ना। 5. उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), मालापुरम।
7. पालघाट संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटर्निंग आफिसर।	1. उप कलक्टर (साधारण), पालाघाट। 2. उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधिग्रहण), पालाघाट। 3. वन खण्ड पदाधिकारी, पालाघाट। 4. जिला प्रदाय अधिकारी, पालाघाट। 5. वन खण्ड पदाधिकारी, नेम्माड़ा। 6. राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, पालाघाट। 7. उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), पालाघाट।
8. ओटापानम (अ० जा०) संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटर्निंग आफिसर।	1. उप कलक्टर (साधारण), पालाघाट। 2. उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), पालाघाट।

1	2	1	2
	3 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, घोटा- पालम।		4 वन खण्ड पदाधिकारी, कोट्टायम।
	4 सहकारी समितियों के उप रजि- स्ट्रार (साधारण), त्रिचुर।		5 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, पलाई।
	5 सहायक विकास आयुक्त, त्रिचुर।		6 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन) एरना- कुलम।
	6 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), पाला- घाट।	13 कोट्टायम संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण), कोट्ट- टायम।
9 त्रिचुर संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण), त्रिचुर।		2 उप कलक्टर (राजस्व वसूली) कोट्टायम।
	2 उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), त्रिचुर।		3 उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधिग्रहण), कोट्टायम।
	3 वन खण्ड पदाधिकारी, त्रिचुर।		4 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, कोट्टायम।
	4 उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधिग्रहण), त्रिचुर।		5 उप कलक्टर, (भूमि सुधार), कोट्टायम।
	5 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, त्रिचुर।		6 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), कोट्ट- टायम।
	6 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), त्रिचुर।	14 इदुक्की संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण), इदुक्की।
10 मूकम्बपुरम संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण), त्रिचुर।		2 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, इदुक्की।
	2 वन खण्ड पदाधिकारी, बालाकुडी।		3 वन खण्ड पदाधिकारी, मुन्नार।
	3 उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), त्रिचुर।		4 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, देवी- कोलम।
	4 जिला प्रवाय अधिकारी, एरना- कुलम।		5 उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), इदुक्की।
	5 उप कलक्टर (राजस्व वसूली), एरनाकुलम।		6 वन खण्ड पदाधिकारी, रान्ती।
	6 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), त्रिचुर।		7 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), इदुक्की।
11 एरनाकुलम संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण), एरना- कुलम।	15 अलेप्पी संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण), अलेप्पी।
	2 उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), एरनाकुलम।		2 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, अलेप्पी।
	3 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, एरना- कुलम।		3 उप कलक्टर (राजस्व वसूली), अलेप्पी।
	4 विशेष उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधि- ग्रहण) (तेल परिष्करण), एरना- कुलम।		4 सहायक विकास आयुक्त, अलेप्पी।
	5 उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधिग्रहण), एरनाकुलम।		5 पुंजा विशेष आफिसर, अलेप्पी।
	6 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), एरना- कुलम।		6 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), अलेप्पी।
12 भुवाट्टुपुझा संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण), एरना- कुलम।	16 मबेलीकारा संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण) अलेप्पी।
	2 विशेष उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधि- ग्रहण), (तेल परिष्करण), एरनाकुलम।		2 अपर उप कलक्टर, (भूमि सुधार), अलेप्पी।
	3 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, भुवाट्टु- पुझा।		3 उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), अलेप्पी।
		17 भन्नूर (अ० जा०) संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	4 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, बेंगानूर।
			5 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), अलेप्पी।
			1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण), कवी- लोन।
			2 सहकारी समितियों के उप रजि- स्ट्रार (साधारण), कवीलोन।
			3 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, भन्नूर।

1

2

New Delhi, the 18th November, 1974

	4 उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधिग्रहण), क्वीलोन।
	5 उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), क्वीलोन।
	6 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), क्वी- लोन।
18 इत्रा नोन सप्तरीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	1 उप कलक्टर, (साधारण), क्वी- लोन।
	2 उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), क्वीलोन।
	3 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, क्वीलोन।
	4 उप कलक्टर (राजस्व वसूली), क्वीलोन।
	5 उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधिग्रहण) क्वीलोन।
	6 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), क्वी- लोन।
19 चिरायिनकिल सप्तरीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर।	1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण), त्रिवेन्द्रम।
	2 उप कलक्टर (भूमि सुधार), त्रिवेन्द्रम।
	3 उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधिग्रहण), (केरल राज्य विद्युत बोर्ड), त्रिवेन्द्रम।
	4 जिला प्रवाय अधिकारी, त्रिवेन्द्रम।
	5 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), त्रिवेन्द्रम।
20. त्रिवेन्द्रम संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का रिटनिंग आफिसर	1 उप कलक्टर (साधारण), त्रिवेन्द्रम।
	2 उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधिग्रहण) (रेलवे भूमि), त्रिवेन्द्रम।
	3 उप कलक्टर (भूमि अधिग्रहण), त्रिवेन्द्रम।
	4 उप कलक्टर (राजस्व वसूली), त्रिवेन्द्रम।
	5 राजस्व खण्ड अधिकारी, त्रिवेन्द्रम।
	6 उप कलक्टर (निर्वाचन), त्रिवेन्द्रम।

S O 3341—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 22 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), the Election Commission hereby appoints each of the Officers of Government of Kerala as specified in column 2 of the Table below to assist the Returning Officer of the Parliamentary constituency in the State of Kerala as determined by the Delimitation Commission in its Order No. 18, dated 11 July, 1974 and published in the Gazette of India extraordinary Part II Section 3(ii) dated 27 July, 1974 and specified in column 1 of the said Table against such Officer or Officers of Government in the performance of the functions of such Returning Officer—

TABLE

Returning Officer of Parla- mentary constituency	Assistant Returning Officer
1	2
1. Returning Officer of Kasaragod parliamentary constituency	1. Deputy Collector (General) Cannanore 2. Revenue Divisional Officer, Kasaragod. 3. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms), Cannanore. 4. Assistant Development Co- missioner Cannanore. 5. Additional Deputy Collec- tor (Land Reforms), Can- nanore. 6. Deputy Collector (Elections), Cannanore.
2. Returning Officer of Cannanore Parliamentary constituency.	1 Deputy Collector (General) Cannanore. 2 Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Cannanore. 3. Deputy Registrar of co- operative Societies (General) Cannanore. 4. Revenue Divisional Officer, Tellicherry. 5. Divisional Forest Officer Manantoddy 6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Cannanore.
3 Returning Officer of Badagara Parhamntary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Kozhikode. 2 Deputy Collector (Revenue Recovery) Cannanore. 3. Revenue Divisional Officer, Kozhikode. 4. District Supply Officer, Kozhikode. 5 Deputy Collector (Elections) Kozhikode.
4. Returning Officer of Calicut Parliamentary constituency.	1 Deputy Collector (General) Kozhikode. 2. Special Deputy Collector, (Land Acquisition) (Kerala State Electricity Board), Kozhikode. 3. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms), Kozhikode. 4 Divisional Forest Officer, Kozhikode. 5. Deputy Collector (Elections) Kozhikode

1	2	1	2
5. Returning Officer of Manjeri Parliamentary Constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Malappuram. 2. Deputy Collector (Revenue Recovery) Kozhikode. 3. Divisional Forest Officer, Nilambur. 4. Additional Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Malappuram. 5. Deputy Collector (Elections) Malappuram.	11. Returning Officer of Ernakulam Parliamentary constituency.	4. District Supply Officer, Ernakulam. 5. Deputy Collector (Revenue Recovery) Ernakulam. 6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Trichur. 1. Deputy Collector (General) Ernakulam. 2. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Ernakulam. 3. Revenue Divisional Officer, Ernakulam. 4. Social Deputy Collector, (Land Acquisition) (Oil Refinery) Ernakulam. 5. Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition) Ernakulam. 6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Ernakulam.
6. Returning Officer of Ponnani Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Malappuram. 2. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Malappuram. 3. District Supply Officer, Malappuram. 4. Revenue Divisional Officer, Perinthalamanna. 5. Deputy Collector (Elections) Malappuram.	12. Returning Officer of Muvattupuzha Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Ernakulam. 2. Special Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition) (Oil Refinery), Ernakulam. 3. Revenue Divisional Officer, Muvattupuzha. 4. Divisional Forest Officer, Kottayam. 5. Revenue Divisional Officer, Palai. 6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Ernakulam.
7. Returning Officer of Palghat Parliamentary Constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Palghat. 2. Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition), Palghat. 3. Divisional Forest Officer, Palghat. 4. District Supply Officer, Palghat. 5. Divisional Forest Officer, Nemmara. 6. Revenue Divisional Officer, Palghat. 7. Deputy Collector (Elections) Palghat.	13. Returning Officer of Kottayam Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Kottayam. 2. Deputy Collector (Revenue Recovery), Kottayam. 3. Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition), Kottayam. 4. Revenue Divisional Officer, Kottayam. 5. Deputy Collector, (Land Reforms) Kottayam. 6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Kottayam.
8. Returning Officer of Ottapalam (SC) Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Palghat. 2. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Palghat. 3. Revenue Divisional Officer, Ottapalam. 4. Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies (General) Trichur. 5. Assistant Development Commissioner, Trichur. 6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Palghat.	14. Returning Officer of Idukki Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Idukki. 2. Revenue Divisional Officer, Idukki. 3. Divisional Forest Officer, Munnar. 4. Revenue Divisional Officer, Devicolum. 5. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Idukki. 6. Divisional Forest Officer, Ranni. 7. Deputy Collector (Elections) Idukki.
9. Returning Officer of Trichur Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Trichur. 2. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Trichur. 3. Divisional Forest Officer, Trichur. 4. Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition) Trichur. 5. Revenue Divisional Officer, Trichur. 6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Trichur.	15. Returning Officer of Alleppey Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Alleppey. 2. Revenue Divisional Officer, Alleppey. 3. Deputy Collector (Revenue Recovery) Alleppey.
10. Returning Officer of Mukundapuram Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Trichur. 2. Divisional Forest Officer, Chalakudy. 3. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Trichur.		

1	2
	4. Assistant Development Commissioner, Alleppey.
	5. Punjab Special Officer, Alleppey.
	6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Alleppey.
16. Returning Officer of Mavelikara Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Alleppey.
	2. Additional Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Alleppey.
	3. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Alleppey.
	4. Revenue Divisional Officer, Chengannur.
	5. Deputy Collector (Elections) Alleppey.
17. Returning Officer of Adoor (SC) Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Quilon.
	2. Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies (General) Quilon.
	3. Revenue Divisional Officer, Adoor.
	4. Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition) Quilon.
	5. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Quilon.
	6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Quilon.
18. Returning Officer of Quilon Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Quilon.
	2. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms) Quilon.
	3. Revenue Divisional Officer, Quilon.
	4. Deputy Collector (Revenue Recovery) Quilon.
	5. Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition) Quilon.
	6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Quilon.
19. Returning Officer of Cherayinkil Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Trivandrum.
	2. Deputy Collector (Land Reforms), Trivandrum.
	3. Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition) (Kerala State Electricity Board) Trivandrum.
	4. District Supply Officer, Trivandrum.
	5. Deputy Collector (Elections) Trivandrum.
20. Returning Officer of Trivandrum Parliamentary constituency.	1. Deputy Collector (General) Trivandrum.
	2. Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition) (Railway Lands) Trivandrum.
	3. Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition) Trivandrum.
	4. Deputy Collector (Revenue Recovery) Trivandrum.
	5. Revenue Divisional Officer, Trivandrum.
	6. Deputy Collector (Elections) Trivandrum.

[No. 434/KL/74(4)]

क्र० प्र० 3342.--लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 21 के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग केरल सरकार के परामर्श से नीचे की गारणी के स्तम्भ 2 में विनिर्दिष्ट सरकारी आफिसर को, ऐसे सरकारी आफिसर के सामने उक्त गारणी के स्तम्भ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट परिचीनत आयोग द्वारा अपने आदेश नं० 18 तारीख 11 जुलाई, 1974 द्वारा यथा अवधारित और भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खण्ड 3(ii), तारीख 27 जुलाई, 1974 के अध्यादेश प्रक में प्रकाशित केरल राज्य के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र के रिटनिंग आफिसर के रूप में पदद्वारा पदामिहित करता है :--

गारणी

संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्रम संख्या और नाम		रिटनिंग आफिसर	
1	2	1	2
1. कामरागोड	जिला	कलक्टर, कन्नानोर	
2. कन्नानोर	जिला	कलक्टर, कन्नानोर	
3. बडगागरा	जिला	कलक्टर, कोझीकोडे	
4. कालीकट	जिला	कलक्टर, कोझीकोडे	
5. मन्जोरी	जिला	कलक्टर, मालापुरम	
6. पोन्नानी	जिला	कलक्टर, मालापुरम	
7. पालषाठ	जिला	कलक्टर, पालषाठ	
8. ओटापानम (अ० जा०)	जिला	कलक्टर, पालषाठ	
9. त्रिचुर	जिला	कलक्टर, त्रिचुर	
10. मुक्कपुरम	जिला	कलक्टर, त्रिचुर	
11. एरनाकुलम	जिला	कलक्टर, एरनाकुलम	
12. मुवाट्टुपुझा	जिला	कलक्टर, एरनाकुलम	
13. कोट्टायम	जिला	कलक्टर, कोट्टायम	
14. इडुक्की	जिला	कलक्टर, इडुक्की	
15. अलेप्पी	जिला	कलक्टर, अलेप्पी	
16. मवेलीकारा	जिला	कलक्टर, अलेप्पी	
17. थूर (अ० जा०)	जिला	कलक्टर, थोलीन	
18. थोलीन	जिला	कलक्टर, थोलीन	
19. त्रिवेन्द्रम	जिला	कलक्टर, त्रिवेन्द्रम	
20. त्रिवेन्द्रम	जिला	कलक्टर, त्रिवेन्द्रम	

[सं० 434/केरल/74(3)]

आदेश से,

बी० नागमुन्नयन मन्त्रि

S. O. 3342.--In pursuance of the provisions of section 21 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), the Election Commission hereby designates, in consultation with the Government of Kerala, the Officer of Government specified in column 2 of the Table below as the Returning Officer of the Parliamentary Constituency in the State of Kerala as determined by the Delimitation Commission in its Order No. 18 dated 11 July, 1974 and published in the Gazette of India extraordinary part-II Section 3(ii) dated 27th July, 1974 and specified in column 1 of the said Table against such Officer of Government :--

TABLE

Serial No. and name of the Parliamentary constituency

Returning Officer

1	2
1. Kasaragod	District Collector, Cannanore.
2. Cannanore	District Collector, Cannanore.
3. Badagara	District Collector, Kozhikode.
4. Calicut	District Collector, Kozhikode.
5. Manjeri	District Collector, Malappuram
6. Ponnani	District Collector, Malappuram.
7. Palghat	District Collector, Palghat.
8. Ottapalam (SC)	District Collector, Palghat.
9. Trichur	District Collector, Trichur.
10. Mukundapuram	District Collector, Trichur.
11. Ernakulam	District Collector, Ernakulam.
12. Muvattupuzha	District Collector, Ernakulam.
13. Kottayam	District Collector, Kottayam.
14. Idukki	District Collector, Idukki.
15. Alleppey	District Collector, Alleppey.
16. Mavelikara	District Collector, Alleppey.
17. Adoor (SC)	District Collector, Quilon.
18. Quilon	District Collector, Quilon.
19. Chirayinkil	District Collector, Trivandrum.
20. Trivandrum	District Collector, Trivandrum.

[No. 434/KL/74(3)]

V. NAGASUBRAMANIAM, Secy.

विधि, न्याय और कम्पनी कार्य मंत्रालय

(विधायी विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 9 दिसम्बर, 1974

का० प्रा० 3343.—दरगाह खवजा साहब अधिनियम, 1955 (1955 का 36) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इलाहाबाद के श्री बशीर अहमद, अधिवक्ता हनाफी मुसलमान की दरगाह समिति, अजमेर के सदस्य के रूप में तत्काल नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० 11 (11)/73-वक्फ]

एस० रमैया, उप-विधायी परामर्शी

MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE & COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Legislative Department)

New Delhi, the 9th December, 1974

S.O. 3343.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Durgah Khawaja Saheb Act, 1955 (36 of 1955), the Central Government hereby appoints, with immediate effect, Shri Bashir Ahmed, Advocate, Allahabad, a Hanafi Muslim, as a member of the Durgah Committee, Ajmer.

[No. 11(11)/73-Wakf]

S. RAMAIAH, Dy. Legislative Counsel

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्व और बीमा विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 19 अगस्त, 1974

सम्पदा-शुल्क

का० प्रा० 3344.—केन्द्रीय सरकार सम्पदा-शुल्क अधिनियम, 1953 (1953 का अधिनियम 34) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और अपनी अधिसूचना सं० 32/1973/फा०सं० 301/90/72 ई०डी० तारीख 26 जून, 1973 का आंशिक उद्देश्य करने हुए निम्न-सारणी के स्तम्भ 2 में विनिर्दिष्ट रेंज के सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (निरीक्षण) के रूप में तैनात सहायक आयकर आयुक्त को उप-सम्पदा-शुल्क नियंत्रक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है जिसका मुख्यालय निम्न-सारणी के स्तम्भ 3 में विनिर्दिष्ट स्थान पर होगा:—

सारणी

क्रम सं०	सहायक निरीक्षण आयकर आयुक्त	उप सम्पदा-शुल्क नियंत्रक
1	2	3
1.	रेंज 4 हैदराबाद	हैदराबाद

यह अधिसूचना 5-8-1974 से प्रवृत्त होगी।

[सं० 71/1974/फा०सं० 301/77/74-ई०डी०]

वी०डी० बखारकर, धवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

New Delhi, the 19th August, 1974

Estate Duty

S.O. 3344.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the E.D. Act, 1953 (Act XXXIV of 1953) and in partial modification of their Notification No. 32/1973/F. No. 301/90/72-ED, dated the 26th June, 1973, the Central Government hereby appoints Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, who is posted as Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax of the Range specified in column 2 of the Table below as Deputy Controller of Estate Duty with head-quarters at place as specified in column 3 of the Table below.

TABLE

Sl. No.	I.A.C	Dy. Controller of Estate Duty
1	2	3
1.	Range—IV, Hyderabad	Hyderabad

This notification shall come into force with effect from 5-8-1974.

[No. 71/1974/F. No. 301/77/74-E.D.]

V. D. WAKHARKAR, Under Secy.

सई विल्डी, 5 दिसम्बर, 1974

1

2

3

का० प्रा० 3345—केन्द्रीय सरकार साधारण बीमा कारबार (राष्ट्रीयकरण) अधिनियम 1972 (1972 का 57) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते ए और भारत सरकार के वित्त मंत्रालय (राजस्व और बीमा विभाग) की अधिसूचना संख्या का० प्रा० 6(ई) तारीख 1 जनवरी 1973 यथा तत्पश्चात् संशोधित के अनुक्रम में निदेश करती है कि निम्नलिखित अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (3) में यथा विनिर्दिष्ट भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम के उपक्रम का विनिर्धान जहाँ तक कि वह उसके द्वारा चलाए जा रहे साधारण बीमा कारबार से सम्बन्धित है उक्त अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (2) में तत्संबंधी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट भारतीय बीमा कम्पनी को अन्तर्गत और उसमें निहित हो जाएगी।

अनुसूची

क्रम सं०	भारतीय बीमा कम्पनी का नाम	विनिर्धान
1	2	3
1.	न्यू इण्डिया एश्योरेस कम्पनी लिमिटेड	(क) 10,00,000 रु० के अंकित मूल्य का 5.5 प्रतिशत आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य विकास ऋण 1978 (ख) 25,00,000 रु० के अंकित मूल्य का 3.75 प्रतिशत महाराष्ट्र राज्य विकास ऋण 1983 (ग) 15,00,000 रु० के अंकित मूल्य के 5.75 प्रतिशत औद्योगिक वित्त निगम बंधपत्र 1980 (घ) 20,00,000 रु० के अंकित मूल्य के इण्डियन टुबोको कम्पनी लिमिटेड 7.75 प्रतिशत डिबेंचर 1978—1983 (ङ) 8,70,050 रु० के अंकित मूल्य के इण्डस्ट्रियल क्रेडिट एण्ड इन्वेस्टमेंट कॉर्पोरेशन प्राइवेट इण्डिया लिमिटेड 8 प्रतिशत डिबेंचर 1980 (च) 20,00,000 रु० के अंकित मूल्य के टैक्सटाइल मशीनरी कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड 7.75 प्रतिशत बंधक डिबेंचर 1978-83 (छ) 25,00,0 सीसोमी एण्ड के अंकित मूल्य का 3 प्रतिशत श्री लंका सरकार ऋण 1980-74
2.	ओरिएण्टल फायर एण्ड जनरल इन्श्योरेस कम्पनी लिमिटेड	(क) 50,00,000 रु० के अंकित मूल्य का 5.75 प्रतिशत तमिलनाडु सरकार ऋण 1982 (ख) 19,50,000 रु० के अंकित मूल्य के 5.75 प्रतिशत औद्योगिक वित्त निगम बंधपत्र 1981

(ग) 20,00,000 रु० के अंकित मूल्य के फिलिप्प इण्डिया लिमिटेड 7.75 प्रतिशत प्रथम बंधक डिबेंचर 1977-82

- 3 यूनाइटेड इण्डिया फायर एण्ड जनरल इन्श्योरेस कम्पनी लिमिटेड निम्नलिखित सभी विनिर्धान—
- (क) केन्द्रीय सरकार की प्रतिभूतियों
 - (ख) राजस्व गारंटी की प्रतिभूतियों
 - (ग) ऐसे बंधपत्र, डिबेंचरों, स्टॉक और अन्य प्रतिभूतियों जिन पर व्याज की गारंटी भारत सरकार या राज्य सरकार द्वारा दी गई है।
 - (घ) भारत में या भारत के बाहर नियमित कम्पनियों के अन्य डिबेंचर और स्टॉक
 - (ङ) भारत में निगमित कम्पनियों के अन्य गारंटीकृत और अधिमानी स्टॉक तथा शेयर।
 - (च) भारत में निगमित कम्पनियों के अन्य साधारण स्टॉक और शेयर।

2. यह अधिसूचना जनवरी 1973 के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[फाइल संख्या 64 (32) बी० 1/72]]

New Delhi, the 5th December, 1974

S.O.3345—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the General Insurance Business (Nationalisation) Act, 1972 (57 of 1972) and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) No. S.O. 6(E), dated the 1st January 1973, as subsequently amended, the Central Government hereby directs that the investment of the undertaking of the Life Insurance Corporation of India, as specified in column (3) of the Schedule below, in so far as it relates to the general insurance business carried on by it, shall stand transferred to and vest in the Indian Insurance Company specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

S. No.	Name of Indian Insurance Company	Investment
(1)	(2)	(3)
1.	New India Assurance Company Limited.	(a) 5.5% Andhra Pradesh State Development Loan 1978 of face value of Rs. 10,00,000/-. (b) 5.75% Maharashtra State Development Loan 1983 of face value of Rs. 25,00,000/-. (c) 5.75% Industrial Finance Corporation Bonds 1980 of face value of Rs. 15,00,000/-. (d) Indian Tobacco Co. Ltd. 7.75% Debenture—1978-83 of face value of Rs. 20,00,000/-. (e) Industrial Credit & Investment Corporation of India Ltd. 6% Debentures 1980 of face value of Rs. 8,75,000/-.

1

2

3

New Delhi, the 6th December, 1974

- (f) Textile Machinery Corporation Ltd. 7.75% Mortgage Debentures 1978-83 of face value of Rs. 20,00,000/-.
- (g) 3% Sri Lanka Government Loan 1969-74 of face value of Cey. Rs. 25,000/-.
2. Oriental Fire and General Insurance Company Limited. (a) 5.75% Tamil Nadu Government Loan 1982 of face value of Rs. 50,00,000/-.
- (b) 5.75% Industrial Finance Corporation Bonds 1981 of face value of Rs. 19,50,000/-.
- (c) Philips India Limited 7.75% 1st Mortgage Debentures 1977-82 of the face value of Rs. 20,00,000/-.
3. United India Fire and General Insurance Company Limited. All investments in :—
- (a) Central Government Securities.
- (b) State Government Securities.
- (c) Bonds, debentures, stocks and other securities whereon interest is guaranteed by the Government of India or a State Government.
- (d) Other debentures and debenture stocks of companies incorporated in India or out of India.
- (e) Other guaranteed and preference stocks and shares of companies incorporated in India.
- (f) Other ordinary stocks and shares of companies incorporated in India.

2. This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of January 1973.

[F. No. 64(32)Ins. I/72]

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 1974

का० प्रा० 3346.—साधारण बीमा कारबार (राष्ट्रीयकरण) अधिनियम, 1972 (1972 का 57) की धारा 16 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार नेशनल इन्स्योरेन्स कम्पनी लिमिटेड (विलयन) स्कीम, 1973 से संबंधित भारत सरकार के वित्त मंत्रालय (राजस्व और बीमा विभाग) की, अधिसूचना सं० 806 (ई), तारीख 31 दिसम्बर, 1973 में, जो भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण, भाग 2, खण्ड 3, उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 31 दिसम्बर, 1973 के पृष्ठ 3043 से 3052 पर प्रकाशित की गई है, निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अधिसूचना में, द्वितीय अनुसूची में, भाग 2 में, पैरा 5 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित पैरा रखा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

“5. उक्त अनुच्छेद के अनुच्छेद 90 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित अनुच्छेद रखा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

“90. अध्यक्ष—यदि बोर्ड के किसी अधिवेशन में, अध्यक्ष उपस्थित नहीं है तो उपस्थित निदेशक, उनमें से, जो उपस्थित है किसी एक का ऐसे अधिवेशन के अध्यक्ष के रूप में चयन कर सकेगा।”

[फा० सं० 64(5)बीमा-1/74-1]

S.O. 3346.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 16 of the General Insurance Business (Nationalisation) Act, 1972 (57 of 1972), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) No. S.O. 806(E), dated the 31st December, 1973, relating to the National Insurance Company Limited (Merger) Scheme, 1973, and published at pages 3036 to 3043 of the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated the 31st December, 1973 namely:—

In the said notification, in the Second Schedule, in Part II, for paragraph 5, the following paragraph shall be substituted, namely:—

“5. For Article 90 of the said Articles, the following Article shall be substituted, namely:—

“90. Chairman—If at any meeting of the Board, the Chairman is not present, the Directors present shall choose one from among those present to be chairman of such meeting.”

[F. No. 64(5) Ins. I/74-I]

का० प्रा० 3347.—साधारण बीमा कारबार (राष्ट्रीयकरण) अधिनियम, 1972 (1972 का 57) की धारा 16 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार ओरियण्टल फायर एण्ड जनरल इन्स्योरेन्स कम्पनी लिमिटेड (विलयन) स्कीम, 1973 से सम्बंधित, भारत सरकार के वित्त मंत्रालय (राजस्व और बीमा विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० 805 (ई), तारीख 31 दिसम्बर, 1973 में, जो भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण, भाग 2, खण्ड 3, उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 31 दिसम्बर, 1973 के पृष्ठ 3028 से 3036 पर प्रकाशित की गई है निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अधिसूचना में, द्वितीय अनुसूची में, भाग 2 में, पैरा 5 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित पैरा रखा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

“5.—उक्त अनुच्छेद के अनुच्छेद 124 के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित पैरा अन्तःस्थापित किया जाएगा ; अर्थात् :—

“124.—अध्यक्ष—यदि बोर्ड के किसी अधिवेशन में, अध्यक्ष उपस्थित नहीं है तो उपस्थित निदेशक, उनमें से जो उपस्थित है किसी एक का ऐसे अधिवेशन के अध्यक्ष के रूप में चयन कर सकेगा।

[फा० सं० 64(5)बीमा-1/74-2]

आर० के० महाजन, निदेशक

S.O. 3347.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 16 of the General Insurance Business (Nationalisation) Act, 1972 (57 of 1972), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) No. 805(E), dated the 31st December, 1973, relating to the Oriental Fire and General Insurance Company Limited (Merger) Scheme, 1973 and published at pages 3023 to 3028 of the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii), dated the 31st December, 1973, namely:—

In the said notification, in the Second Schedule, in part II, after paragraph 9, the following paragraph shall be inserted namely:—

“10. For Article 124 of the said Articles, the following Article shall be substituted, namely:—

“Chairman. 124. If at any meeting of the Board, the Chairman is not present, the Directors present

shall choose one from among those present to be chairman of such meeting."

[F. No. 64(5) Ins. I/74-II]
R. K. MAHAJAN, Director

भादेश

नई दिल्ली, 10 दिसम्बर, 1974

स्टाम्प

का० प्रा० 3348.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार महाराष्ट्र राज्य विद्युत बोर्ड मुम्बई को सोलह लाख, आठ हजार, सात सौ पचास रुपये मात्र के समेकित स्टाम्प शुल्क का, जो उक्त बोर्ड द्वारा जारी किए गए दस करोड़ सहस्र लाख पचास हजार रुपये प्रकृत मूल्य के डिबेंचरों के रूप में वन्धनों पर स्टाम्प-शुल्क मद्दे प्रभावी है, संदाय करने की अनुज्ञा देती है।

[सं० 35/74-स्टाम्प/का० सं० 471/62/74-सीमा शुल्क 7]

जे० रामकृष्णन्, अवर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 10th December, 1974

STAMPS

S.O. 3348.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby permits the Maharashtra State Electricity Board, Bombay to pay consolidated stamp duty of sixteen lakhs eight thousand, seven hundred and fifty rupees only, chargeable on account of the stamp duty on bonds in the form of debentures of the face value of ten crores seventy-two lakhs and fifty thousand rupees, issued by the said Board.

[No. 35/74-Stamps/F. No. 471/62/74-Cus.VII]

J. RAMAKRISHNAN, Under Secy.

(बैंकिंग विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 3 दिसम्बर 1974

का० प्रा० 3349.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 53 द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार रिजर्व बैंक आफ इंडिया की सिकारिस पर एतद्वारा घोषित करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 के उपबन्ध हिन्दुस्तान कमर्शियल बैंक लि० कानपुर द्वारा मोहित शासर्गज इलाहाबाद में घृत सम्पत्ति अर्थात् मकान नं० 116/377 के संबंध में उक्त बैंक पर 9 नवम्बर 1975 तक लागू नहीं होंगे।

[सं० 15(26) बी०प्रो० 3/74]

(Department of Banking)

New Delhi, the 3rd December, 1974

S.O. 3349.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Section 9 of the said Act shall not apply, till the 9th November

1975 to the Hindustan Commercial Bank Ltd., Kanpur in respect of a property viz. a house No. 116/377 held by it at Mohitshansganj, Allahabad.

[No. 15(26)-B.O. III/74]

नई दिल्ली, 5 दिसम्बर, 1974

का० प्रा० 3350.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 53 द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार रिजर्व बैंक आफ इंडिया की सिकारिस पर एतद्वारा घोषित करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 के उपबन्ध पंजाब एण्ड सिन्ध बैंक लि० नई दिल्ली द्वारा देहरादून में घृत दो सम्पत्तियों (अर्थात् डिस्पेंसरी रोड पर स्थित परिसर संख्या 4 जिसमें रिहायशी क्वाटर और दुकानें हैं तथा मोती बाजार में घुमजिली इमारत संख्या 33/32) के संबंध में उक्त बैंक पर 6 नवम्बर 1975 तक लागू नहीं होंगे।

[सं० 15(30) बी०प्रो० 3/73]

जे० भा० उसगांवकर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 5th December, 1974

S.O. 3350.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 9 of the said Act shall not apply till the 6th October 1975 to the Punjab and Sind Bank Ltd., New Delhi, in respect of the two properties viz., premises No. 4 consisting of residential quarters and shops at Dispensary Road and double storeyed building No. 33/32, Moti Bazar, both held by it at Dehradun.

[No. 15(30)-B.O. III/73]

M. B. USGAONKAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 9 दिसम्बर, 1974

का० प्रा० 3351.—भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक अधिनियम 1934 (1934 का 2) की धारा 8 की उपधारा (4) के साथ पाठित उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा डा० आर० के० हजारी को 27 नवम्बर, 1974 से प्रारम्भ होकर 26 नवम्बर, 1977 को समाप्त होने वाली तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक के उप-गवर्नर के रूप में पुनः नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० एफ० 7/4/74-बी० प्रो० I]

निर्मल चन्द्र सेनगुप्त, सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th December, 1974

S.O. 3351.—In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (1) read with sub-section (4) of section 8 of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 (2 of 1934), the Central Government hereby reappoints Dr. R. K. Hazari as a Deputy Governor of the Reserve Bank of India for a further period of three years, commencing on 27th November, 1974 and ending with 26th November, 1977

[No. F. 7/4/74-BO. I]

N. C. SEN GUPTA, Secy.

केन्द्रीय उत्पादनशुल्क तथा सीमा शुल्क समारहर्ता का कार्यालय

(केन्द्रीय उत्पादनशुल्क)

कोचीन, 27 जुलाई, 1974

क्रा० प्रा० 3352.—केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क नियमावली, 1944 के नियम 233 के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, मैं एतद्वारा केन्द्रीय उत्पादनशुल्क नियमावली, 1944 के नियम 56-ए के अधीन खाता जमा का लाभ उठाने वाले लाइसेंसधारियों द्वारा रखे जाने के लिये निम्नलिखित फार्म में भार०जी०-23 में अनिवारित रिकार्ड निर्धारित करता हूँ।

अनिवारित भार० जी० 23

दिनांक	खाता-जमा कार्यविधि के अधीन लाये गये कच्चे माल, निर्माणक हिस्सों के नाम तथा उनकी टैरिफ मद संख्या	ऐसे कच्चे माल/निर्माणक हिस्सों का प्रवण जो तैयार माल के निर्माण के लिये जारी किये गये थे परन्तु ये प्रक्रिया की विभिन्न अवस्थाओं में हैं तथा जिन्हें तैयार माल के उपयोग में नहीं लाया गया है	ऐसे कच्चे माल/निर्माणक हिस्सों की मात्रा जिन्हें प्रार० जी०-23 भाग I में जारी किया गया दिखाया गया है परन्तु जो प्रक्रिया करने वाले संयंत्र को यदि कोई हो, सप्लाई किये जाने तक उसी प्रकार पड़े हुए है।	कच्चे माल/निर्माणक हिस्सों की तैयार माल के निर्माण के लिये भाज की तारीख को जारी की गई साजी मात्रा जैसी कि भार० जी 23 भाग I में दिखायी गयी है	कालम 3 (क) तथा 3(ख) का योग	कालम 3 (ग) तथा निर्मित तथा भार० 4 का योग	ऊपर कालम 5 से जी० 1 में हिसाब में ली गई केन्द्रीय उत्पादनशुल्क लगने योग्य तैयार माल की मात्रा
(1)	(2)	3(क)	3(ख)	3(ग)	4	(5)	6(क)

उत्पादन संयंत्र में पड़े ऐसे उत्पादन-शुल्क लगने योग्य तैयार माल की मात्रा जिसे अभी भार० जी० 1 में हिसाब में लिया जाना है	कालम 6(क) तथा 6(ख) का योग	छीजन में गये माल की मात्रा	विखायी नही देने वाली छीजन	विखाई देने वाली छीजन तथा 7(ख) का योग	मास के अन्त में ऐसे कच्चे माल/निर्माणक हिस्सों की मात्रा जो तैयार उत्पाद के निर्माण की विभिन्न अवस्थाओं में पड़ी है	प्रक्रिया करने वाले संयंत्र को सप्लाई किये जाने वाले कच्चे माल/निर्माणक हिस्सा में से महीने के अन्त में स्टॉक में पड़े कच्चे माल/निर्माणक हिस्सों की मात्रा	कालम 8(क) तथा 8(ख) का योग
6(क)	6(ग)	7(क)	7(ख)	7(ग)	8(क)	8(ख)	8(ग)

लाइसेंसधारी द्वारा घोषित निर्माण का फार्मूला	महीने के दौरान कच्चे माल/निर्माणक हिस्सों की वास्तविक खपत की मात्रा 'कालम 5 के योग में से कालम 8(ग) के योग को घटाये'	महीने के दौरान ऊपर कालम 10 में दिखाई गयी मात्रा में से निर्मित तैयार माल की वास्तविक मात्रा (कालम 6(ग) का योग)	तैयार माल की वह मात्रा जो कालम 10 में दिखायी गई मात्रा में से, घोषित फार्मूलों के अनुसार उत्पादित की जा सकती है	कालम 11 तथा 12 में विशेष/घटबढ़, यदि कोई दिखाई गयी मात्रा के बीच घट-बढ़, यदि कोई हो	कालम 13 तथा 14 में विशेष/घटबढ़, यदि कोई हो
(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)

ऊपर कालम 3 को महीने के प्रथम दिन भरा जाना चाहिये।

कालम सं० 8, 10, 11, 12 तथा 13 को महीने के अन्त में भरा जाना चाहिये।

ऊपर रखा गया रिकार्ड केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क के किसी भी अधिकारी द्वारा किसी भी समय निरीक्षण किये जाने के लिये उपलब्ध रहेगा।

[स० 3/74 फाइल सी० सं० 4/16/293/73-के० उ० शु०-1]

एस० वेकटरामा अय्यर, समारहर्ता

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE

(Central Excises)

Cochin, 27th July, 1974

S. O. 3352 —In exercise of the powers conferred on me under rule 233 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944. I hereby prescribe the additional records in R.G. 23 in the following form to be maintained by the licensees availing proforma credit under Rule 56-A of Central Excise Rules 1944.

ADDITIONAL R.G. 23

Date	Name of Raw material component parts brought under proforma credit procedure and its tariff item Number	Opening balance of Raw material/component parts issued for the manufacture of finished goods but remaining in various stage of process and not made to finished goods.	Quantity of Raw material/component parts as shown issued in R.G. 23 Pt. I but remaining as such pending supply to the processing plant, if any.	Total of Col. (3) (a) & 3 (b)	Fresh quantity of Raw material/component part issued on date for manufacture of finished goods as shown in RG 23 Pt. I	Total of Col. 3 (c) and 4	Quantity of finished excisable goods manufactured out of Col. 5 above and taken into account in R.G. 1
------	---	--	---	-------------------------------	--	---------------------------	--

1 2 3(a) 3(b) 3(c) (4) (5) 6(a)

quantity of finished excisable goods remaining in the production plant still to be taken into R.G. 1 account	Total of Col. 6 (a) & 6 (b)	Quantity Wasted			quantity of Raw material/component parts in various stage of manufacture of finished product at the end of the month	Quantity of Raw material/component parts in stock as such if any out of those shown against pending supply to processing plant at the end of the month	Total of col. 8 (a) & 8 (b)
		Invisible waste	Visible waste	Total of Col. 7 (a) & 7 (b)			

6(b) 6(c) 7(a) 7(b) 7(c) 8(a) 8(b) 8(c)

formulac of manufacture declared by the licensee	Actual quantity of raw material/component parts consumed during the month Totals of Col. 5 minus Col. 8 (c)	Actual quantity of finished goods manufactured out of the quantity shown against Col. 10 above during the month (total of Col. 6 (c)	Quantity of finished goods that can be produced as per declared formula out of the quantity shown against Col. 10	Variation if any between the quantity shown against Col. 11 & 12	Remarks/Reasons for variation if any
--	---	--	---	--	--------------------------------------

9 10 11 12 13 14

The Column No. 3 above need be filled on the 1st day of the month.

The column Nos. 8, 10, 11, 12 & 13 need be filled at the close of the month.

The above record maintained will be open for inspection by any Central Excise Officer at all times.

[No. 3/74 file C. No. IV/16/293/73 CX. I]

S. Venkatarama Iyer, Collector

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

New Delhi, the 6th December, 1974

S.O. 3353.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 (10 of 1955), the Central Government hereby makes the following Order further to amend the Cotton Control Order, 1955, namely:—

1. This Order may be called the Cotton Control (Amendment) Order, 1974.
2. In the Cotton Control Order, 1955, after clause 13, the following clause shall be inserted, namely:—

“13A. Every owner or lessee of a cotton ginning factory shall pack cotton only in standardised bales containing 170 Kgs. of cotton with a tolerance of 10 Kgs. on either side”.

[No. 11/19/72-Text(II)]

T. KHANNA, Dy. Secy.

वाणिज्य मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 21 दिसम्बर, 1974

क्र० अ० 3354.—निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार मछली तथा मछली-उत्पाद निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1964 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है।

(1) इन नियमों का नाम मछली तथा मछली-उत्पाद (निर्यात निरीक्षण) द्वितीय संशोधन नियम, 1974 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2 मछली तथा मछली-उत्पाद-निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1964 में नियम, 6 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जाएगा, अर्थात्:—

“अपील—(1) निरीक्षण अधिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उपनियम (4) के अधीन प्रमाणपत्र देने से इंकार किए जाने से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इंकार किए जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा इस प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त, कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक मात व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

(2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो-तिहाई भाग पदधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।

(3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।

(4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अंतिम होगा।

(5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जाएगा।”

[सं० 6(5)/74 नि०नि० तथा नि० स०]

New Delhi, the 21st December, 1974

S.O. 3354.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963, (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of Fish and Fish Products (Inspection) Rules, 1964.

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Fish and Fish Products (Inspection) Second Amendment Rules, 1974.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.

2. In the Export of Fish and Fish Products (Inspection) rules, 1964, for rule 6 the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

“Appeal—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the agency to issue a certificate under sub-rule (4) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him, prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

(2) At least two-thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.

(3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.

(4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.

(5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt.”

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP.]

क्र० अ० 3355.—निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार मेंढक की टांगों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1965 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है:—

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम मेंढक की टांगों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) द्वितीय संशोधन नियम, 1974 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. मेंढक की टांगों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1965 में नियम 6 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जाएगा, अर्थात्:—

“अपील (1) निरीक्षण अधिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उप-नियम (4) के अधीन प्रमाणपत्र देने से इंकार किए जाने से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इंकार किए जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा इस प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त, कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक मात व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

(2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो-तिहाई भाग पदधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।

(3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।

(4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अंतिम होगा।

(5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जाएगा।”

[सं० 6(5)/74 नि०नि० तथा नि० स०]

S.O. 3355.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963, (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of Frog Legs (Inspection) Rules, 1965.

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Frog Legs (Inspection) second Amendment Rules, 1974.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.

2. In the Export of Forz Legs (Inspection) Rules, 1963, for rule 6 the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

"Appeal—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the agency to issue a certificate under sub-rule (4) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him, prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

(2) Atleast two-thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.

(3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.

(4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.

(5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt."

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP.]

का० प्र० 3356.—निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार प्रशोधित शिगा मछली के पृष्ठ भागों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1971 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है।

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम प्रशोधित शिगा मछली के पृष्ठ भागों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) संशोधन नियम, 1974 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. प्रशोधित शिगा मछली के पृष्ठ भागों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1971 में, नियम 8 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जाएगा अर्थात्:—

"अपील—(1) निरीक्षण अधिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उप-नियम (4) के अधीन प्रमाण पत्र देने से इनकार किए जाने से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इनकार किए जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा उस प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त, कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक सात व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

(2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो-तिहाई भाग पद्धधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।

(3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।

(4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अन्तिम होगा।

(5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जाएगा।"

[सं० 6(5)/74-नि०नि० तथा नि० सं०]

S.O. 3356.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963, (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of Frozen Lobster Tails (Inspection) Rules, 1971.

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Frozen Lobster Tails (Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1974.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.

2. In the Export of Forzen Lobster Tails (Inspection) Rules, 1971, for rule 8 the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

"Appeal—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the agency to issue a certificate under sub-rule (4) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him, prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

(2) Atleast two-thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.

(3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.

(4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.

(5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt."

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP.]

का० प्र० 3357.—निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963, (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पी०बी०सी० लेदर-क्लाथ निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1966 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है।

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम पी०बी०सी० लेदर-क्लाथ निर्यात (निरीक्षण) संशोधन नियम, 1974 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. पी०बी०सी० लेदर-क्लाथ निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1966 में, नियम 7 के स्थान पर, निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जाएगा, अर्थात्:—

"7. अपील—(1) निरीक्षण अधिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उप-नियम (3) के अधीन प्रमाण-पत्र देने से इनकार किए जाने से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इनकार किए जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा इस प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त, कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक सात व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के पैनल के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

(2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो-तिहाई भाग पद्धधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।

(3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।

(4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अन्तिम होगा।

(5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जाएगा।"

[सं० 6(5)/74-नि०नि० तथा नि० सं०]

S.O. 3357.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963, (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of PVC Leather Cloth (Inspection) Rules, 1966.

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of PVC Leather Cloth (Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1974.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.

2. In the Export of PVC Leather Cloth (Inspection) Rules, 1966, for rule 7 the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

"7 Appeal—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the Inspection agency to issue a certificate under sub-rule (3) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him, prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

- (2) Atleast two-thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.
- (3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.
- (4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.
- (5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt."

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP.]

का०प्र० 3358—निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, लिनोलियम निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) नियम, 1969 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है।

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम लिनोलियम निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) संशोधन नियम, 1974 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. लिनोलियम निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) नियम, 1969 में नियम 7 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जाएगा, अर्थात्:—

"7 अपील—(1) निरीक्षण अभिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उप-नियम (4) के अधीन प्रमाण-पत्र देने से इन्कार किए जाने से व्यक्ति कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इन्कार किए जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा उस प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त, कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक मान्य व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के पैनल के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

- (2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो-तिहाई भाग पदधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।
- (3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।
- (4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अन्तिम होगा।
- (5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जाएगा।"

[सं० 6(5)/74-नि०नि० तथा नि०सं०]

S.O. 3358.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963, (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of Linoleum (Quality Control and Inspection) Rules, 1969.

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Linoleum (Quality Control and Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1974.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.

2. In the Export of Linoleum (Quality Control and Inspection) Rules, 1966, for rule 7 the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

"7 Appeal—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the inspection agency to issue a certificate under sub-rule (4) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him, prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

- (2) Atleast two-thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.
- (3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.
- (4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.
- (5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt."

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP.]

का०प्र० 3359—निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार रंगलेप तथा सम्बद्ध उत्पादों का निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) नियम, 1967 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है।

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम रंगलेप तथा सम्बद्ध उत्पादों का निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) संशोधन नियम, 1974 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. रंगलेप तथा सम्बद्ध उत्पादों का निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) नियम, 1967 में, नियम 7 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जाएगा, अर्थात्:—

"7. अपील—(1) निरीक्षण अभिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उप-नियम (4) के अधीन प्रमाण-पत्र देने से इन्कार किए जाने से व्यक्ति कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इन्कार किए जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा उस प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त, कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक मान्य व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के पैनल के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

- (2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो-तिहाई भाग पदधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।
- (3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।
- (4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अन्तिम होगा।
- (5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जाएगा।

[सं० 6(5)/74-नि०नि० तथा नि०सं०]

S.O. 3359.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963, (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of Paints and Allied Products (Quality Control and Inspection) Rules, 1967.

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Paints and Allied Products (Quality Control and Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1974.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.

2. In the Export of Paints and Allied Products (Quality Control and Inspection) Rules, 1967, for rule 7, the following rule shall be substituted, namely :—

"7 Appeal.—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the inspection agency to issue a certificate under sub rule (4) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

(2) At least two-thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.

(3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.

(4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.

(5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt."

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP.]

का०आ० 3360—नियति (क्वालिटी नियन्त्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, क्लर्क लोहे के मलनालो तथा अन्वायुक्तियों का नियति (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1971 में और मशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1 (1) इन नियमों नाम क्लर्क लोहे के मलनालो तथा अन्वायुक्तियों का नियति (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1974 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2 क्लर्क लोहे के मलनालो एवं अन्वायुक्तियों का नियति (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1971 के नियम 7 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

"अपील—(1) निरीक्षण अधिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उप-नियम (4) के अधीन प्रमाण-पत्र देने से इन्कार किए जाने से व्यक्ति कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इन्कार किए जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा उस प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक सात व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के पैनल के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

(2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो-तिहाई भाग पदधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।

(3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।

(4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अन्तिम होगा।

(5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जाएगा।"

[स० 6(5)/74-नि०नि० तथा नि०सं०]

S.O. 3360.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963, (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of Cast Iron Soil Pipes and Fittings (Inspection) Rules, 1971, namely :—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Cast Iron Soil Pipes & Fittings (Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1974.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.

2. In the Export of Cast Iron Soil Pipes & Fittings (Inspection) Rules, 1971, for rule 7 the following rule shall be substituted, namely :—

"Appeal.—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the inspection agency to issue a certificate under sub rule (4) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

(2) At least two-thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.

(3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.

(4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.

(5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt."

[No 6(5)/74-EI&EP.]

का०आ० 3361—नियति (क्वालिटी नियन्त्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, क्लर्क लोहे के मेनहोल कवर तथा फ्रेमों का नियति (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1971 में और संशोधन के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1 (1) इन नियमों का नाम क्लर्क लोहे के मेनहोल कवर तथा फ्रेमों का नियति (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1974 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2 क्लर्क लोहे के मेनहोल कवर तथा फ्रेमों का नियति (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1971 के नियम 7 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

"अपील—(1) निरीक्षण अधिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उप-नियम (4) के अधीन प्रमाण-पत्र देने से इन्कार किए जाने से व्यक्ति कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इन्कार किए जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा उस प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त, कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक सात व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के पैनल के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

(2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो-तिहाई भाग पदधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।

(3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।

(4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अन्तिम होगा।

(5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जाएगा।"

[स० 6(5)/74-नि०नि० तथा नि०सं०]

S.O. 3361.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963 (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of Cast Iron Manhole Covers and Frames (Inspection) Rules, 1971, namely :—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Cast Iron Manhole Covers and Frames (Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1974.
- (2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.
2. In the Export of Cast Iron Manhole Covers and Frames (Inspection) Rules, 1971, for rule 7 the following rule shall be substituted, namely :—

"Appeal—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the inspection agency to issue a certificate under sub-rule (4) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him, prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

- (2) At least two thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.
- (3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.
- (4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.
- (5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt."

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP.]

क्र० प्र० 3362.—निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, विस्तारित मेटल इस्पात की चद्दों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1967 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम विस्तारित मेटल इस्पात की चद्दों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) संशोधन नियम, 1974 है।
- (2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।
2. विस्तारित मेटल इस्पात की चद्दों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1967 में, नियम 8 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

"अपील—(1) निरीक्षण अधिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उप-नियम (4) के अधीन प्रमाण-पत्र देने से इन्कार किए जाने से व्यक्ति कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इन्कार किए जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा उम प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त, कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक मात व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के पैनल के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

- (2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो तिहाई भाग पदधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।
- (3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।
- (4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अन्तिम होगा।
- (5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जाएगा।"

[सं० 6(5)/74-नि० नि० तथा नि० सं०]

S.O. 3362.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963 (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of Expanded Metal Steel Sheets (Inspection) Rules, 1967, namely :—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Expanded Metal Steel Sheets (Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1974.
- (2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.
2. In the Export of Expanded Metal Steel Sheets (Inspection) Rules, 1967, for rule 8 the following rule shall be substituted, namely :—

"Appeal—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the inspection agency to issue a certificate under sub-rule (4) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him, prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

- (2) At least two thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.
- (3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.
- (4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.
- (5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt."

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP]

क्र० प्र० 3363.—निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, बिजली के केबलों तथा चालकों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1968 में और संशोधन करने के लिये निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम बिजली के केबलों तथा चालकों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) संशोधन नियम, 1974 है।
- (2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।
2. बिजली के केबलों तथा चालकों का निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1968 में, नियम 8 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जायेगा।

"अपील—(1) नियम 4 के उप-नियम (5) के अधीन निरीक्षण-अधिकरण द्वारा प्रमाण-पत्र देने से इन्कार किये जाने से व्यक्ति कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इन्कार किये जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा उम प्रयोजन के लिये नियुक्त, कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक मात व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के पैनल के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

- (2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो तिहाई भाग पदधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।
- (3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।
- (4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अन्तिम होगा।
- (5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जायेगा।"

[सं० 6(5)/74—नि० तथा नि० सं०]

S.O. 3363.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963 (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of Electric Cables and Conductors (Inspection) Rules, 1968, namely :—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Electric Cables and Conductors (Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1974.
- (2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.

2. In the Export of Electric Cables and Conductors (Inspection) Rules, 1968, for rule 8 the following rule shall be substituted, namely :—

“Appeal—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the inspection agency to issue a certificate under sub-rule (5) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him, prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

- (2) At least two thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.
- (3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.
- (4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.
- (5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt.”

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP]

का० प्रा० 3364.—निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, साइकिल-निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) नियम, 1967 में और संशोधन करने के लिये निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम साइकिल-निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) संशोधन नियम, 1974 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. साइकिल-निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) नियम, 1967 में, नियम 8 के स्थान निम्नलिखित रखा जायेगा, अर्थात् :—

“अपील—(1) निरीक्षण अधिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उप-नियम (4) के अधीन प्रमाण-पत्र देने से इंकार किये जाने व्यक्ति कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इंकार किये जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा उस प्रयोजन के लिये नियुक्त कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक सात व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

(2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की सदस्यता का कम से कम दो तिहाई भाग पदधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।

(3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।

(4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनल का विनिश्चय अंतिम होगा।

(5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जायेगा।

[सं० 6(5)/74 नि० नि० तथा नि० सं०]

S.O. 3364.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963 (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Export of Bicycles (Quality Control and Inspection) Rules, 1967, namely :—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Bicycles (Quality Control and Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1974.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.

2. In the Export of Bicycles (Quality Control and Inspection) Rules, 1967, for rule 8 the following rule shall be substituted, namely :—

“Appeal—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the inspection agency to issue a certificate under sub-rule (4) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him, prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

(2) At least two thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.

(3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.

(4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.

(5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt.”

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP]

का० प्रा० 3365.—निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, आटोमोबाइल के पुर्जों, संघटकों तथा उप-साधनों का निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) नियम, 1973 में और संशोधन करने के लिये निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम आटोमोबाइल के पुर्जों, संघटकों तथा उप-साधनों का निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) संशोधन नियम, 1974 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. आटोमोबाइल के पुर्जों, संघटकों तथा उप-साधनों का निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) नियम, 1973 में, नियम 7 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम रखा जायेगा, अर्थात् :—

“अपील—(1) निरीक्षण अधिकरण द्वारा नियम 4 के उप-नियम (4) के अधीन प्रमाण-पत्र देने से इंकार किये जाने से व्यक्ति कोई व्यक्ति, उसके द्वारा, इस प्रकार इंकार किये जाने की सूचना की प्राप्ति से 10 दिन के भीतर, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा उस प्रयोजन के लिये नियुक्त, कम से कम तीन और अधिक से अधिक सात व्यक्तियों के विशेषज्ञों के पैनल समक्ष अपील कर सकेगा।

(2) विशेषज्ञों के पैनल की कुल सदस्यता का कम से कम दो तिहाई भाग पदधारियों से भिन्न व्यक्तियों का होगा।

(3) पैनल की गणपूर्ति तीन की होगी।

- (4) ऐसी अपील पर पैनेल का विनिश्चय अन्तिम होगा।
(5) अपील को प्राप्त होने के 15 दिन के भीतर निपटाया जायेगा।

[सं० 6(5)/74—नि० नि० तथा नि० सं०]
के० वी० बालसुब्रह्मण्यन्, उप निदेशक

S.O. 3365.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963 (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the export of Automobile Spares, Components and accessories (Quality Control and Inspection) Rules, 1973, namely:—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export of Automobile Spares, Components & Accessories (Quality Control and Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1974.
- (2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official gazette.
2. In the Export of Automobile Spares, Components & Accessories (Quality Control and Inspection) Rules, 1973, for rule 7 the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

"Appeal—(1) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the inspection agency to issue a certificate under sub-rule (4) of rule 4, may, within ten days of the receipt of the communication of such refusal by him, prefer an appeal to a panel of experts consisting of not less than three but not more than seven persons, appointed for the purpose by the Central Government.

- (2) At least two thirds of the total membership of the panel of experts shall consist of non-officials.
- (3) The quorum for the panel shall be three.
- (4) The decision of the panel on such appeal shall be final.
- (5) The appeal shall be disposed of within 15 days of its receipt."

[No. 6(5)/74-EI&EP]

K. V. BALASUBRAMANIAN, Dy. Director.

पेट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय

(पेट्रोलियम विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 4 दिसम्बर, 1974

का०आ० 3366.—यतः सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में एम०डी०जे० से डी०ओ० तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिए पाइप लाइन तेल तथा प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एल्यूमीनियम धनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना धायय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

बगलें कि उक्त भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप सक्षम प्राधिकारी तेल तथा प्राकृ-

तिक गैस आयोग निर्माण और देखभाल प्रभाग भाकरपुरा रोड, बरीदा-9 को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

धनुसूची

डी०/एस०डी०जे० से डी०ओ० तक पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए

राज्य : गुजरात जिला-केरा तालुका: मतार

गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या	हेक्टर	ए आर ई	सेन्टी ए आर ई
वरसंग	175/1	0	03	10
	181/पी	0	04	30
	172	0	04	32
	176	0	18	20
	151	0	01	80
	149/2	0	01	68
	149/1	0	00	35

गांव : बयूथा	जिला : अहमदाबाद	तालुका : डोलका
बयूथा	150	0 04 92
	143/2	0 09 00
	142	0 05 04
	141/ए/1	0 00 75
	141/ए/2	0 03 00
	141/बी	0 04 56
	139	0 01 30
	138/पी	0 27 75
	124/पी	0 04 80
	123	0 00 05
	259	0 07 44
	257	0 03 50
	258	0 06 80
	243	0 10 80
	244	0 08 75
	241	0 08 16
	240	0 08 16
	178	0 11 50
	230	0 10 20
	228	0 03 00
	काटे ट्रेक	0 01 10

[संख्या 12016/13/74-एल एण्ड एल]

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS

(Department of Petroleum)

New Delhi, the 4th December, 1974

S.O. 3366.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from DS DJ to DO in Gujarat State Pipe-

lines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission ;

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such Pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein :

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority, Oil and Natural Gas Commission, Construction and Maintenance Division, Makarpura Road, Baroda-9.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner

SCHEDULE

For Laying pipeline from D/S DJ to Do.

STATE : GUJARAT DISTRICT : KAIRA TALUKA : MATAR

Village	Survey No.	Hec-tare	Are.	Cent-re.
1	2	3	4	5
VARSANG	173/1	0	03	10
	181/F	0	04	30
	172	0	04	32
	176	0	18	20
	151	0	01	80
	149/2	0	01	68
	149/1	0	00	35
Village : Vautha	District : Ahmedabad	Tuluka : Dholka		
VAUTHA	150	0	04	92
	143/2	0	09	00
	142	0	05	04
	141/A/1	0	00	75
	141/A/2	0	03	00
	141/B	0	04	56
	139	0	01	30
	138/P	0	27	75
	124/P	0	04	80
	123	0	00	05
	259	0	07	44
	257	0	03	50
	258	0	06	80
	243	0	10	80
	244	0	08	75
	241	0	08	16
	240	0	08	16
	178	0	11	50
	230	0	10	20
	228	0	03	00
Cart Track		0	01	10

का० प्र० 3367.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में जंकशन पाइप्ट से बाल्व प्लेट फार्म और ज० से जी जी एस/सी टी एक साउथ कादी तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिए पाइप लाइन तेल तथा प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एनएनएलए अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

बशर्ते कि उक्त भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप सक्षम प्राधिकारी तेल तथा प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग निर्माण और देखभाल प्रभाग मकरपुरा रोड बरोदा-9 को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिश्चित यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

अनुसूची

जंकशन पाइप्ट से बाल्व प्लेट फार्म और जंकशन पाइप्ट से जी जी एस/सी टी एक साउथ कादी तक पाइप लाइन बिछाने के लिए।

राज्य : गुजरात	तालुका : कादी	जिला : मेहसाना		
गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या	हेक्टर	ए और ई	पी ए और ई
कादी	1978	0	05	00
	1977	0	18	00
	1976	0	27	35
	1957	0	09	00
	1955	0	09	00
	1954	0	14	25
	1953	0	20	85
	काटे ट्रैक	0	05	25
	1855	0	05	25

[संख्या 12016/2/74-एल एण्ड एल]

पी० पी० गुप्ता, उपसचिव।

S.O. 3367.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from Jn. Point To Value Plate Form and Jn. To GGS/CTF South Kadi in Gujarat State Pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission:

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such Pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein :

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority, Oil and Natural Gas Commission, Construction and Maintenance Division, Makarpura Road, Baroda-9.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

For Laying pipeline from junction point to valve platform & Junction point to G.G.S./C.T.F. South Kadi.

STATE : GUJARAT	TALUKA : KADI	DISTRICT : MEHSANA			
Village	Survey No.	Hec-tare	Are.	P. Are.	
1	2	3	4	5	
KADI	1978	0	05	00	
	1977	0	18	00	
	1976	0	27	35	
	1957	0	09	00	
	1955	0	09	00	
	1954	0	14	25	
	1953	0	20	85	
	Cart Track	0	05	25	
	1855	0	05	25	

[No. 12016(2)/74-L&L]

P. P. GUPTA, Dy. Secy.

पर्यटन और नागर विमानन मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 1974

क्रा० प्रा० 3368—वायु निगम अधिनियम, 1953 (1953 का 27) को धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा अधिसूचना सं० ए० सी० 18013/2/74-ए० सी०, दिनांक 7 मार्च, 1974 से आंशिक संशोधन करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा वित्त मंत्रालय (व्यय विभाग) के अपर सचिव, श्री एम० वासुदेवन् को सत्कार तथा अगले आदेशों तक, श्री पी० सी० भट्टाचार्य के स्थान पर एयर इण्डिया तथा इण्डियन एयरलाइन्स के निदेशक-मंडल में एक निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्ति करती है।

[स० ए० सी०-18013/2/74-ए० सी०]

सी० एल० दोगरा, उप सचिव

MINISTRY OF TOURISM & CIVIL AVIATION

New Delhi, the 6th December, 1974

S.O. 3368.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Air Corporations Act, 1953 (27 of 1953) and in partial modification of notifications No. Av. 18013/2/74-AC dated the 7th March, the Central Government hereby appoint Shri S. Vasudevan, Additional Secretary, Ministry of Finance (Department of Expenditure) as a Director on the Boards of Air-India and Indian Airlines in place of Shri P. C. Bhattacharyya with immediate effect and until further orders.

[No. Av. 18013/2/74-AC]

C. L. DHINGRA, Dy. Secy.

संचार मंत्रालय

(डाक तार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 11 दिसम्बर, 1974

क्रा० प्रा० 3369—स्थायी आदेश संख्या 627 दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय तार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक तार महा निदेशक ने चिलाकलुरिपेट टेलीफोन केन्द्र में दिनांक 16-1-75 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[सं० 5-13/74-पी० एच० बी०]

पी० सी० गुप्ता, सहायक महानिदेशक

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS

(P & T Board)

New Delhi, the 11th December, 1974

S.O. 3369.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 16-1-1975 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Chilakaluripeta Telephone Exchange Andhra Circle.

[No. 5-13/74-PHB]

P. C. GUPTA, Asstt. Director General

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION & SOCIAL WELFARE

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS

1970-71

AND

Audit Report on the accounts of the Aligarh Muslim University.

Aligarh for the year 1970-71

1. UNSOLD PRICED PUBLICATIONS--

S.O.3370.—Out of 74,639 copies of priced publications printed and received in the Publication Department during the years 1958-59 to 1969-70, 47,376 copies valued at Rs. 3.20 lakhs were lying unsold in December, 1971. Year-wise breakup of the number of books published and the number sold is given in Annexure 'I'.

Cost of printing of these books could not be intimated by the Publication Department. The Department stated (December, 1971) that a committee was being constituted to frame rules covering policy of the University regarding printing and sale of these books.

2. STOCK VERIFICATION—

(a) Physical verification of stock in each department of the University is to be conducted once a year. Such verification was not carried out in 70 (out of 126) departments during 1970-71. Of these, in 37 departments physical verification has not been conducted since 1968-69 and in 27 departments since 1969-70. The matter has been taken up with the Personnel and Productivity Organisation, Bombay, for studying the causes for non-verification of stock in such a large number of departments and to suggest remedial measures.

(b) Annual physical verification of books in the Central Library (having 2,05,118 books on 31st March, 1972) was last conducted in 1967-68. In 16 departmental libraries (containing 1,48,075 books on 31st March, 1972) and departmental libraries (containing 37,796 books on 31st March, 1972) the annual physical verification was last conducted in 1966-67 and 1967-68 respectively. In the remaining 9 departmental libraries (containing 43,094 books on 31st March, 1972), established between 1927-28 and 1970-71, no physical verification has been carried out.

Out of 12,400 books, costing Rs. 1.24 lakhs, found short on physical verification in the aforesaid libraries, 2,639 books have so far (December, 1971) been traced leaving a balance of 9,761 missing books. The Library Committee recommended in May, 1970 write off of 2,875 books costing Rs. 28,750.

3. UNADJUSTED ADVANCES—

Detailed accounts/adjustment vouchers have not been furnished so far (December, 1971) by various university officers and departments to whom Rs. 31.59 lakhs were paid as advances during 1952-53 to 1970-71 for taking delivery of Railway consignment and V.P. Ps, /payments of customs duty for machines and equipment purchased, payment of travelling allowance to examiners and meeting travel expenses on tour and project work of the students and teachers, etc.

The year-wise break-up of the unadjusted advances is as below :—

Year	Rs.
1952-53	23
1953-54	450
1954-55	1,264
1961-62	1,416
1962-63	6,630
1963-64	593
1964-65	822
1965-66	5,557
1966-67	39,630
1967-68	8,32,906
1968-69	6,19,435
1969-70	7,52,336
1970-71	8,98,396
	<hr/> 31,59,458

The University stated (January, 1972) that a cell had been formed in November, 1971 for clearance of these outstanding advances. Severe restrictions were also imposed from October, 1971 on sanctions of fresh advances.

4. BANK RECONCILIATION—

Reconciliation between the bank balances and the University cash balances is required to be done monthly. Such reconciliation has been completed (December, 1971) upto March, 1971 only and shows a net difference of Rs. 26,32,070. This difference includes Rs. 12,69,404 pertaining to the period 1959-60 to 1970-71 shown by the University as 'Remittances in transit'. Out of this difference, Rs. 9,49,425.52 shown in the University Cash Book as remitted to bank between 17th March, 1971 to 31st March 1971, was credited in the Bank account after March, 1971. The University stated (September, 1972) that steps were being taken to reconcile the remaining discrepancies and necessary adjustments would be made while closing the accounts for 1971-72.

5. SCHOLARSHIP ACCOUNTS—

For amounts received from various sources for payment of scholarships, a separate cash book is maintained. These amounts are kept in a bank account separate from the bank account for funds of the University. Reconciliation between the balances in the separate bank account and the cash book maintained for the Scholarships is required to be done monthly. This reconciliation was done upto December, 1966 only when there was no difference between the cash book balance and the bank balance. Thereafter, neither balances have been struck in the cash book nor any reconciliation done between the cash book and the bank balances.

Ledger accounts showing scholarship money received, disbursed and the balances in hand in respect of individual scholars are required to be maintained. Balances have not been struck periodically in these individual ledger accounts. The undisbursed amounts as per these ledger accounts could not, therefore, be worked out for verification with the balance in the cash book.

ALLAHABAD;

The 28th December 1972

M. L. CHOPRA,
Accountant General, Uttar Pradesh-II

ANNEXURE "I"

Year of Publication	No. of titles	No. of Copies		Sold	Percentage of Books sold to Books published	Value of Unsold Books
		Published	Unsold			
						Rs. P.
1958-59	34	16,678	11,512	5,166	31%	41,557.00
1959-60	10	7,937	3,410	4,527	57%	32,669.00
1960-61	12	6,897	3,426	3,381	49.7%	29,268.25
1961-62	10	5,788	4,021	1,857	31.6%	32,676.50
1962-63	9	3,048	1,963	1,085	35.6%	11,173.00
1963-64	19	9,134	6,675	2,459	26.9%	56,423.00
1964-65	8	5,717	2,392	3,325	58.2%	16,005.25
1965-66	8	2,938	1,879	1,059	36%	13,233.00
1966-67	4	1,339	985	354	26.4%	11,794.00
1967-68	5	3,400	2,253	1,147	33.7%	6,706.00
1968-69	6	2,749	2,402	347	12.6%	18,963.00
1969-70	8	9,014	6,408	2,606	28.9%	49,083.00
	133	74,639	47,326	27,313	36.6*	3,19,551.00

*Overall percentage

ANNEXURE "II"

S. No.	Name of title	Year of publication	No. of books published	Price	No. of Books		Percentage of books sold to the No. published	Value of unsold books
					Sold	Unsold		
				Rs. P.				Rs. P.
1.	Tarikh-i-Dawoodi	1959-60	360	20.00	6	354	1.7%	7,080.00
2.	Mughal Kalim Bharat (Babar)	1960-61	846	20.00	196	650	23.2%	13,000.00
3.	Khairul Majalis	1960-61	400	20.00	98	302	24.6%	6,040.00
4.	Mughal Kalim Bharat (Humayun Part I)	1961-62	901	22.00	221	680	24.5%	14,960.00
5.	Rausatul Jamat Part I	1961-62	445	20.00	138	287	35.5%	5,740.00
6.	Aligarh Tarikh Adab Urdu	1962-63	250	20.00	191	59	76.7%	1,180.00
7.	International Geography Seminar	1963-64	1,000	25.00	60	940	6%	23,500.00
8.	Kitabul Ain	1963-64	380	15.00	110	270	29%	4,050.00
9.	Mughal Kalim Bharat (Humayun Part II)	1964-65	935	15.00	207	728	22.1%	10,920.00
10.	Makatib-i-Sanai	1964-65	861	20.00	176	685	20.5%	13,700.00
11.	Social Security in the Frame work of the Economic Development	1965-66	200	20.00	83	117	41.5%	2,340.00
12.	Types of Islamic Thought	1965-66	250	15.00	52	198	20.8%	2,970.00
13.	Investment of L.I.C. Fund	1966-67	198	23.00	41	157	20.7%	3,611.00
14.	Micro-structure and public Enterprises	1968-69	500	20.00	21	479	4.2%	9,580.00
15.	Bhakti Kalim Hindi Sahitya Men Yog Bharana	1969-70	474	15.00	82	392	17.3%	5,880.00
			8,000		1,702	6,298		1,24,551.00

ANNEXURE "III"

	General Fund	Develop- ment Grant Account	Deposit Account	Medical College Fund	Provident Fund Account	Total
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Balance as per Cash Accounts	(—)5,64,705	2,04,429	65,954	1,695	5,69,123	2,76,496
Deduct :						
Remittances in transit .	4,32,138	3,28,431	94,555	..	4,14,289	12,69,404
Erroneous/unclassified debits by bank . . .	44,297	11,422	10,310	..	3,854	69,883
Add :						
Uncashed cheques	24,08,141	10,86,139	2,13,293	31,032	1,67,642	39,05,247
Erroneous/unclassified credits by bank . . .	31,225	25,259	391	..	8,235	65,110
Balance as per Bank .	13,98,226	9,75,974	1,74,773	32,727	3,26,866	29,08,566
Net difference	(+)19,62,931	(+)7,71,545	(+)1,08,819	(+)31,032	(—)2,42,257	(+)26,32,070

ANNEXURE "IV"

Year	Remittances in transit	Erroneous/ unclassified debits by Bank	Uncashed cheques	Erroneous/ unclassified credits by Bank	Net difference
1	2	3	4	5	6
	(—)Rs.	(—)Rs.	(+)Rs.	(+)Rs.	Rs.
1958-59	—	..	77	.. (+)	77
1959-60	7,443 ()	7 443
1962-63	3,634 (—)	3,634
1963-64	63,746	..	445	.. (—)	63,301
1964-65	3,123	..	28,335	6,028 (+)	31,240
1965-66	1,115	19,998	94,256	643 (+)	73,786
1966-67	4,139	7,812	15,971	716 (+)	4,736
1967-68	15,986	492	32,227	.. (+)	15,749
1968-69	21,387	15,240	1,23,848	14,427 (+)	1,01,648
1969-70	1,56,910	10,497	2,02,679	12,886 (+)	48,158
1970-71	9,91,921	15,844	34,08,409	30,410 (+)	24,31,054
Total	(—) 12,69,404	(—) 69,883	(+)39,06,247	(+)65,110 (+)	26,32,070

GENERAL ACCOUNTS AND BALANCE SHEET
GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70	Expenditure	Actuals for 1970-71	
1	2	3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
		MAINTENANCE	
	1. Administration--		
	A. Offices--		
	(a) Salaries--		
	(1) Teaching Staff--		
	Other Allowances	6,701
	(2) Non-Teaching Staff--		
7,61,316 } * + 76,490 }	Pay	8,39,632	
3,13,757 } * + 76,490 }	Dearness Allowance	3,93,843	
...	Interim Relief	86,977	
63,079	House Rent Allowance	55,383	
42,738	Other Allowances	57,030	
			14,32,865
11,80,890			
	(3) Lower Subordinate Staff--		
2,04,248 } * - 76,490 }	Pay	1,60,865	
1,84,432 } * - 76,490 }	Dearness Allowance	1,46,834	
...	Interim Relief	35,661	
19,391	House Rent Allowance	14,479	
...	Other Allowances	5,924	
			3,63,763
4,08,071			
3,61,175	(b) Other Charges		4,13,353
19,50,136	Total—Offices		22,16,682
13,45,807	B. Common Services and General Charges		15,29,295
32,95,943	Total—Administration		37,45,977

*Proforma correction made after the close of Accounts for 1969-70.

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71

Income	Actuals for 1970-71		1969-70
5	6	7	8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
GRANT ACCOUNT			
I. Endowments and Grants —			
A. Income from Endowments and Investments	2,57,980		2,50,368
B. Grants—			
U.G.C.	2,13,65,164		1,98,84,968
State Government	2,83,955		2,87,673
		2,19,02,099	2,04,23,009
II. Fees from Students—			
A. Academic	9,23,746		8,39,915
B. Examination	3,94,645		3,74,235
C. Other fees	1,03,033		1,14,331
		14,21,424	13,28,481
III. Hostels			
		3,09,084	2,63,128
IV. Income from Buildings, Lands and Other Properties—			
A. Buildings	1,31,538		1,59,507
B. Lands	146		549
C. Orchards	4,162		21,028
D. Other Properties	3,492		1,970
		1,39,338	1,83,054
V. Publications			
		14,213	21,686
VI. Other Departments—			
A. Buildings Department	7,578		9,680
B. University Health Service		18
C. Property Department	5,366		7,275
		12,944	16,973

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70- 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	Actuals for 1970-71 4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
		MAINTENANCE	
	2. Academic Departments—		
	I. Faculties—		
	(a) Salaries—		
	(1) Teaching Staff—		
51,93,831 } *—4,78,040 }	Pay	54,16,382	
3,60,692 } *+4,78,040 }	Dearness Allowance	8,90,493	
18,533	Interim Relief	2,65,630	
789	House Rent Allowance	69,709	
	Other Allowance	540	
58,73,845			66,42,754
	(2) Non-Teaching Staff—		
10,13,379 } *—44,089 }	Pay	10,07,225	
4,72,314 } *+44,089 }	Dearness Allowance	6,30,642	
83,096	Interim Relief	1,33,506	
	House Rent Allowance	92,694	
	Other Allowances	3,551	
15,68,789			18,67,618
	(3) Lower Subordinate Staff—		
3,11,824	Pay	3,28,444	
2,86,962	Dearness Allowance	2,95,540	
	Interim Relief	66,246	
34,476	House Rent Allowance	34,529	
	Other Allowances	7,729	
6,33,262			7,32,488
13,61,187	(b) Other Charges		18,14,076
94,37,083	Total—Faculties		1,10,56,936

*Element of Dearness Pay erroneously reflected under 'Pay' in the previous years' Accounts.

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	Actuals for 1970-71 7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
GRANT ACCOUNT—contd.			
VII. University Auxiliary Services—			
A. Electricity Supply Service	10,08,762		8,12,702
B. Agriculture Farm	63,603	10,72,365	60,995
			8,73,697
VIII. Miscellaneous		2,01,450	4,34,681
IX. Maintained Institution and Schools—			
A. Fees from Students	1,37,015		1,11,833
B. Hostels	9,181		9,654
C. Miscellaneous	3,450	1,49,646	31,212
			1,52,699
Total—Main University		2,52,22,563	2,36,97,408
X. J. N. Medical College Hospital—			
A. Grants for Maintenance of Beds—			
U. G. C.	3,50,000		3,50,000
State Government	1,25,000		50,000
		4,75,000	
B. Ambulance fee	408		
C. Miscellaneous Receipts	8,106	8,514	
Total—J. N. Medical College Hospital		4,83,514	4,00,000
Deficit—			
Main University	9,96,545		
J. N. Medical College Hospital	12,86,599		
		22,83,144	

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	Actuals for 1970-71 4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
	2. Academic Departments—contd.		MAINTENANCE
	II. Colleges—		
	(a) Salaries—		
	(1) Teaching Staff—		
3,62,118 } * -44,426 }	Pay	3,21,183	
42,630 } * +44,426 }	Dearness Allowance	91,025	
..	Interim Relief	28,611	
3,669	House Rent Allowance	6,259	
..	Other Allowances	1,071	
6,08,417			6,48,149
	(2) Non-Teaching Staff—		
86,671	Pay	1,01,535	
37,705	Dearness Allowance	65,142	
..	Interim Relief	13,889	
5,890	House Rent Allowance	8,286	
..	Other Allowances	110	
1,30,266			1,88,962
	(3) Lower Subordinate Staff—		
44,397	Pay	43,187	
40,617	Dearness Allowance	39,564	
..	Interim Relief	9,688	
4,653	House Rent Allowance	4,313	
..	Other Allowances	862	
89,667			97,614
2,46,990	(b) Other Charges		3,06,587
10,75,340	Total—Colleges		12,41,312

*Element of Dearness Pay erroneously reflected under 'Pay' in the previous years' Accounts.

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	Actuals for 1970-71 7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

GRANT ACCOUNT—contd.

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
			MAINTENANCE
	2. Academic Departments—contd.		
	III. General Education Centre—		
	(a) Salaries—		
	(1) Teaching Staff—		
1,02,704 } *—10,628 }	Pay	1,03,725	
5,271 } *+10,628 }	Dearness Allowance	17,491	
158	Interim Relief	5,914	
1,08,133	House Rent Allowance		1,27,130
	(2) Non-Teaching Staff—		
22,040	Pay	24,672	
14,321	Dearness Allowance	15,111	
2,871	Interim Relief	3,240	
39,232	House Rent Allowance	2,480	45,503
	(3) Lower Subordinate Staff—		
5,293	Pay	4,892	
5,112	Dearness Allowance	4,686	
679	Interim Relief	1,080	
	House Rent Allowance	599	
	Other Allowances	120	11,377
11,084			
19,824	(b) Other Charges		13,221
1,78,273	Total—General Education Centre		1,97,231
1,06,90,696	Total—Academic Departments		1,24,95,479

*Element of Dearness Pay erroneously reflected under 'Pay' in the previous years' Accounts.

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	1969-70 8
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

GRANT ACCOUNT—contd.

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals 3	for 4	1970-71
Rs.			Rs.	Rs.
MAINTENANCE				
3.	Examination—			
	(a) Salaries—			
	(1) Non-Teaching Staff—			
24,211	Pay	32,091		
15,552	Dearness Allowance	18,837		
	Interim Relief	4,577		
2,053	House Rent Allowance	2,694		
4,816				58,199
	(2) Lower Subordinate Staff—			
4,048	Pay	5,001		
3,834	Dearness Allowance	4,807		
393	Interim Relief	1,061		
	House Rent Allowance	517		
	Other Allowances	2,140		
8,275				13,526
7,57,600	(b) Other Charges			9,24,701
8,07,691	Total—Examination			9,96,426
4.	Library—			
	(a) Salaries—			
	(1) Non-Teaching Staff—			
1,80,093	Pay	2,44,374		
86,054	Dearness Allowance	1,19,452		
	Interim Relief	31,170		
14,306	House Rent Allowance	20,389		
	Other Allowances	974		
2,80,453				4,16,359

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.
		Rs.

GRANT ACCOUNT—contd.

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
		MAINTENANCE	
	4. Library—concl'd.		
	(a) Salaries—concl'd.		
	(2) Lower Subordinate Staff—		
51,292	Pay	3,303	
35,606	Dearness Allowance	3,073	
	Interim Relief	649	
5,265	House Rent Allowance	396	
..	Other Allowances	96	
92,164			7,517
5,69,955	(b) Other Charges		5,73,381
9,42,572	Total—Library		9,97,257
	5 Students' Facilities—		
	(a) Salaries—		
	(1) Teaching Staff—		
..	Pay		3,427
	(2) Non-Teaching Staff—		
77,725	Pay	78,332	
28,603	Dearness Allowance	32,042	
	Interim Relief	7,209	
4,665	House Rent Allowance	4,290	
..	Other Allowances	4,226	
1,10,993			1,26,099
	(3) Lower Subordinate Staff—		
25,611	Pay	27,582	
24,495	Dearness Allowance	25,023	
..	Interim Relief	5,839	
2,054	House Rent Allowance	2,149	
..	Other Allowances	624	
52,160			61,217
44,722	(b) Other Charges		61,319
2,07,875	Total—Students' Facilities		2,52,062

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

GRANT ACCOUNT—contd.

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

19 69-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	Actuals for 1970-71 4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
MAINTENANCE			
6. Fellowships, Scholarships, etc. for Students—			
89,056	A. Fellowships	1,16,097	
1,48,052	B. Scholarships	2,10,161	
2,560	C. Stipends	3,950	
600	D. Prizes and Medals	1,149	
3,850	E. Financial Assistance to Students	2,500	3,33,85
2,44,118	Total—Fellowships, Scholarships, etc. for Students		3,33,857
7. Hostels—			
(a) Salaries—			
(1) Teaching Staff—			
49,356	Other Allowances		61,741
49,356			
(2) Non-Teaching Staff—			
56,072 } * + 27,501 } 56,549 }	Pay	1,26,799	
9,193	Dearness Allowance	1,03,173	
	Interim Relief	22,615	
	House Rent Allowance	12,938	
1,21,814			2,65,525
(3) Lower Subordinate Staff—			
2,16,164 } * - 27,501 } 1,75,446 }	Pay	2,07,034	
24,064	Dearness Allowance	1,93,496	
	Interim Relief	41,007	
	House Rent Allowance	21,980	
	Other Allowances	5,296	
4,15,674			4,68,813
83,029	(b) Other Charges		97,611
6,69,873	Total—Hostels		8,93,690

*Proforma correction made after the close of Accounts for 1969-70.

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	Actuals for 1970-71 7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
GRANT ACCOUNT—contd			

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	Actuals for 1970-71 4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
		MAINTENANCE	
	8. University Publications—		
	(a) Salaries—		
	(1) Non-Teaching Staff—		
7,168	Pay	7,828	
4,408	Dearness allowance	4,534	
..	Interim Relief	960	
861	House Rent Allowance	439	
			13,761
12,437	(2) Lower Subordinate Staff—		
1,795	Pay	1,744	
1,623	Dearness Allowance	1,562	
..	Interim Relief	480	
226	House Rent Allowance	207	
..	Other Allowances	48	
			4,041
3,644			
11,242	(b) Other Charges		20,807
27,323	Total—University Publications		38,609

9. Other Departments—

(a) Salaries—

(1) Non-Teaching Staff—

3,32,210	Pay	3,32,716	
*—42,725	Dearness Allowance	1,79,007	
96,105	Interim Relief	42,120	
*+42,725	House Rent Allowance	22,270	
..	Other Allowances	12,104	
20,845			5,88,217
12,426			
4 61,586			

*Element of Dearness Pay erroneously reflected under Pay in the previous years' Accounts.

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	Actuals for 1970-71 7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

GRANT ACCOUNT—contd.

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
MAINTENANCE			
9. Other Departments—concl'd.			
(a) Salaries—concl'd.			
(2) Lower Subordinate Staff—			
3,14,475 } *—1,22,282	Pay	2,50,111	
1,66,611 } *+1,22,282	Dearness Allowance	2,24,064	
..	Interim Relief	48,190	
25,882	House Rent Allowance	25,814	
120	Other Allowances	5,890	
5,07,088			5,54,069
11,91,400	(b) Other Charges		13,60,605
21,60,074	Total—Other Departments		25,02,891
10. University Auxiliary Services—			
(a) Salaries—			
(1) Non-Teaching Staff—			
1,05,237 } *—35,898	Pay	1,06,899	
26,449 } *+35,898	Dearness Allowance	66,504	
..	Interim Relief	16,564	
6,410	House Rent Allowance	7,493	
1,645	Other Allowances	2,196	
1,39,741			1,99,656
(2) Lower Subordinate Staff—			
39,154	Pay	35,196	
34,232	Dearness Allowance	32,828	
..	Interim Relief	7,393	
3,069	House Rent Allowance	3,581	
..	Other Allowances	816	
76,455			79,814
7,76,058	(b) Other Charges		11,02,865
9,92,254	Total—University Auxiliary Services		13,82,335

*Element of Dearness Pay erroneously reflected under 'Pay' in the previous years' Accounts.

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

Income	5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	7	1969-70 8
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
GRANT ACCOUNT—contd.				

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	Actuals for 1970-71 4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
		MAINTENANCE	
	11. Miscellaneous—		
4,66,746	A. Leave Salary	4,20,225	
6,691	B. Leave Salary and Pension Contribution	14,552	
1,00,000	C. Appropriation to Depreciation Fund	1,00,000	
85,601	D. Furniture	1,30,569	
25,803	E. Teaching Equipment	4,479	
72,479	F. Office Equipment	1,13,722	
13,750	G. Membership and Contribution	21,240	
8,874	H. Admission Charges	2,710	
1,16,996	I. Other Items	1,49,409	9,56,905
8,96,940	Total—Miscellaneous		9,56,906

12. University Maintained Institution and Schools—

(a) Salaries—

(1) Teaching Staff—

5,47,633	Pay	4,41,717	
*—1,22,296			
97,859	Dearness Allowance	2,26,511	
*+1,22,296			
..	Interim Relief	47,492	
35,589	House Rent Allowance	35,103	
3,970	Other Allowances	4,838	
6,85,051			7,55,661

(2) Non-Teaching Staff—

36,989	Pay	44,587	
20,869	Dearness Allowance	34,231	
..	Interim Relief	6,172	
5,136	House Rent Allowance	4,363	
..	Other Allowances	23	
62,994			89,376

*Element of Dearness Pay erroneously reflected under 'Pay' in the previous years' Accounts.

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	Actuals for 1970-71 7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

GRANT ACCOUNT—contd.

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	Actuals for 1970-71 4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
		MAINTENANCE	
	12. University Maintained Institution and Schools— <i>concl.</i>		
	(a) Salaries— <i>concl.</i>		
	(3) Lower Subordinate Staff—		
72,204	Pay	63,018	
66,351	Dearness Allowance	58,484	
..	Interim Relief	14,350	
5,655	House Rent Allowance	6,390	
..	Other Allowances	1,748	
1,44,210			1,43,990
86,967	(b) Other Charges		1,02,754
9,79,222	Total—University Maintained Institution and Schools		10,91,781
	13. Provident Fund and Pension—		
2,83,098	A. Provident Fund Contribution	3,06,173	
59,553	B. Pension	1,08,865	
2,07,840	C. Gratuity	97,128	
..	D. Commutation of Pension	19,672	
			5,31,838
5,50,491	Total—Provident Fund and Pension		5,31,838
2,24 65,072	Total—Main University		2,62,19,108
	15. J. N. Medical College Hospitals—		
	(a) Salaries—		
	(1) Non-Teaching Staff—		
1,03,197 } *—15,370 }	Pay	2,67,493	
30,451 } *+15,370 }	Dearness Allowance	1,09,382	
..	Interim Relief	29,116	
2,497	House Rent Allowance	17,883	
5,928	Other Allowances	12,790	
1,42,073			4,36,664

*Element of Dearness Pay erroneously reflected under 'Pay' in the previous years' Accounts.

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—*contd.*

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	Actuals for 1970-71 7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

GRANT ACCOUNT—*contd.*

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70	Expenditure	Actuals for 1970-71	
1	2	3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
		MAINTENANCE	
15. J. N. Medical College Hospitals—concl.			
(a) Salaries—concl.			
(2) Lower Subordinate Staff—			
16,271	Pay	75,744	
15,814	Dearness Allowance	74,702	
..	Interim Relief	22,976	
2,031	House Rent Allowance	7,570	
149	Other Allowances	1,481	1,82,473
<u>34,265</u>			
5,77,746	(b) Other Charges		11,50 976
<u>7,54,084</u>	Total—J. N. Medical College Hospital		17,70,113
2,32,19,156	Total—Expenditure		2,79,89,221
8,78,252	Revenue Surplus
<u>2,40,97,408</u>	Total		2,79,89,221

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—concl.

Income	Actuals for 1970-71		1969-70
5	6	7	8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

GRANT ACCOUNT—concl.

Total	2,79,89,221	2,40,97,408
-------	-------------	-------------

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70	Expenditure	Actuals for 1970-71	
1	2	3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
		DEVELOPMENT GRANT	
	I. IV Plan Schemes—		
	Development of Higher Education and Research—		
	1. Administration—		
	A. Offices—		
	(a) Salaries—		
	(1) Non-Teaching Staff—		
26,545	Pay	31,800	
12,784	Dearness Allowance	14,140	
..	Interim Relief	3,863	
1,533	House Rent Allowance	1,250	
1,712	Deputation Allowance	1,788	
2,084	Leave Salary and Pension Contribution	..	
	(2) Lower Subordinate Staff—		52,841
840	Pay	785	
852	Dearness Allowance	781	
..	Interim Relief	62	
109	House Rent Allowance	97	
..	Other Allowances	24	
			1,749
46,459	Total—Offices		54,590
	2. Academic Departments—		
	I. Faculties—		
	(a) Salaries—		
	(1) Teaching Staff—		
3,96,443	Pay	5,80,652	
93,335	Dearness Allowance	1,17,723	
..	Interim Relief	33,211	
6,694	House Rent Allowance	12,786	
			7,44,372

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

	Income	Actuals for 1970-71		1969-70
	5	6	7	8
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
ACCOUNT (PLAN EXPENDITURE)				
1. Grant-in-aid from U.G.C. for IV Plan Schemes—				
Higher Education and Research—				
Administration		52,700		44,800
Academic Departments—				
Faculties		7,62,504		5,92,914
Colleges		40,000		35,780
General Education Centre		19,515		..
Library		30,763		6,000
			9,05,482	6,79,494
II. Grant-in-aid from U.G.C. for Special Development Schemes outside IV Plan—				
Centre of Advanced Study in History		1,57,673		1,08,920
Centre of West Asian Studies		31,500		56,500
Diploma Course in Business Management		15,000		..
			2,04,173	1,65,420
III. Grant-in-aid from U.G.C. for Continued III Plan Development Schemes—				
Faculty of Engineering and Technology			8,81,600	77,351
IV. Grant-in-aid from U.G.C. and Government of India for Miscellaneous Schemes—				
Miscellaneous Schemes			85,274	1,35,971
Deduct Refunds			—130	—4,84,968

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
DEVELOPMENT GRANT			
I. IV Plan Schemes—contd.			
Development of Higher Education and Research—contd.			
2. Academic Departments—contd.			
I. Faculties—contd.			
(a) Salaries—concl'd.			
(2) Non-Teaching Staff—			
61,447	Pay	71,954	
39,717	Dearness Allowance	45,389	
..	Interim Relief	10,696	
6,304	House Rent Allowance	6,826	
			1,34,865
(3) Lower Subordinate Staff—			
1,646	Pay	4,828	
1,670	Dearness Allowance	4,425	
...	Interim Relief	772	
220	House Rent Allowance	640	
...	Other Allowances	78	
			10,743
30,647	(b) Other Charges		24,891
6,38,123	Total—Faculties		9,14,871
II. Colleges—			
(a) Salaries—			
(1) Teaching Staff—			
30,437	Pay	35,828	
11,531	Dearness Allowance	11,882	
...	Interim Relief	2,659	
...	House Rent Allowance	899	
			51,268
41,968	Total—Colleges		51,268

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—Contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

ACCOUNT (PLAN EXPENDITURE)—Contd.

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	1970-71 4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
DEVELOPMENT GRANT			
I. IV Plan Schemes—Concl'd.			
Development of Higher Education and Research—Concl'd.			
4. Library—			
15,788	(a) Establishment against 5% of Books grant	17,664	
...	(b) Storage facilities against 5% of Books grant	33,523	
			51,187
15,788	Total—Library		
5. Students' Facilities—			
Hobbies Workshop—			
3,260	Other Charges—
10. University Auxiliary Services—			
Agriculture Farm—			
12,987	Other Charges
6,493	Provident Fund Contribution		17,713
7,65,078	Total—IV Plan Schemes		10,89,629
II. Special Development Schemes outside IV Plan—			
1. Centre of Advanced Study in History—			
(a) Salaries—			
(1) Teaching Staff—			
17,960	Pay	44,565	
4,960	Dearness Allowance	6,992	
...	Interim Relief	2,025	
90	House Rent Allowance	767	
			54,349
31,904	(2) Research Fellowships and Scholarships		28,450

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—Contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	1970-71 7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

ACCOUNT (PLAN EXPENDITURE)—Contd.

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	1970-71 4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
DEVELOPMENT GRANT			
II. Special Development Schemes outside IV Plan—contd.			
1. Centre of Advanced Study in History—concl.			
(a) Salaries—concl.			
(3) Non-Teaching Staff—			
6,030	Pay	5,654	
2,928	Dearness Allowance	1,893	
...	Interim Relief	660	
205	House Rent Allowance	129	
			8,336
(4) Lower Subordinate Staff—			
1,032	Pay	3,491	
852	Dearness Allowance	2,001	
...	Interim Relief	180	
121	House Rent Allowance	186	
...	Other Allowances	24	
			3,882
32,706	(b) Other Charges		66,707
1,033	Provident Fund Contribution		1,886
99,821	Total—Centre of Advanced Study in History		1,65,610

2. Centre of West Asian Studies—

(a) Salaries—

(1) Teaching Staff—

15,810	Pay	16,530	
1,200	Dearness Allowance	1,200	
4,600	Research Fellowships	2,800	
			20,530

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—Contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	1970-71 7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

ACCOUNT (PLAN EXPENDITURE)—Contd.

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	3	Actuals for 1970-71 4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
		DEVELOPMENT GRANT	
	I. Special Development Schemes outside IV Plan—concl'd.		
	2. Centre of West Asian Studies—concl'd.		
	(a) Salaries—Concl'd.		
	(3) Non-Teaching Staff—		
8,852	Pay	9,456	
3,661	Dearness Allowance	3,510	
...	Interim Relief	876	
360	House Rent Allowance	1,119	
			14,961
1,310	Provident Fund Contribution		1,323
8,470	(b) Other Charges		27,336
44,263	Total—Centre of West Asian Studies		64,150
	3. Diploma Course in Business Management—		
	(a) Salaries—		
	(1) Teaching Staff—		
...	Pay	21,746	
...	Dearness Allowance	2,640	
...	Interim Relief	1,080	
			25,466
	(2) Non-Teaching Staff—		
...	Pay	1,869	
...	Dearness Allowance	1,450	
...	Interim Relief	329	
...	House Rent Allowance	206	
			3,854
1,569	(b) Other Charges		5,447
1,569	Total—Diploma Course in Business Management		34,767
1,45,653	Total—Special Development Schemes outside IV Plan		2,64,527
	III. Continued III Plan Development Schemes—		
	Development of Faculty of Engineering and Technology—		
	(a) Salaries—		
	(1) Teaching Staff—		
92,005	Pay	89,533	
34,278	Dearness Allowance	38,306	
...	Interim Relief	7,829	
5,647	House Rent Allowance	6,492	
73,627	Other Allowances	1,00,049	
			2,42,209
	(2) Non-Teaching Staff—		
24,655	Pay	25,894	
10,576	Dearness Allowance	10,997	
...	Interim Relief	2,772	
1,537	House Rent Allowance	1,688	
4,037	Other Allowances	...	
			41,351
	(3) Lower Subordinate Staff—		
7,816	Pay	11,169	
7,658	Dearness Allowance	11,170	
...	Interim Relief	2,342	
998	House Rent Allowance	1,355	
...	Other Allowances	182	
1,587	Provident Fund Contribution		26,218
49,299	(b) Other Charges		1,923
3,13,720	Total—Continued III Plan Development Schemes		61,300
			3,73,001

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—cont'd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	7	1969-70 8
	Rs.		Rs.
ACCOUNT (PLAN EXPENDITURE)—cont'd.			

GENERAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
		DEVELOPMENT	GRANT
IV. Miscellaneous Schemes—			
11,039	Financial Assistance to Teachers	5,625	
9,624	Utilization of Services of Retired Teachers	12,948	
51,075	Summer Institutes/Schools	29,864	
14,802	Seminars/Symposiums and Conferences	12,650	
9,152	Travel Grant to Teachers	1,299	
25,483	Unassigned Grant	44,195	
698	Other Schemes	26,210	
1,21,873			1,32,791
13,46,324	Total—Expenditure		18,59,948
...	Transferred to Unexpended Balances		2,16,451
13,46,324	Total		20,76,399

ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR, 1970-71—contd.

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	7	1969-70 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
ACCOUNT (PLAN EXPENDITURE)—Contd.			
Total		20,76,399	5,73,268

1969-70 1	Expenditure 2	Actuals for 1970-71 3	4
		M.U. DEPOSIT ACCOUNT	
M.U. Hospital Drugs Store—			
(a) Salaries—			
	(1) Non-Teaching Staff—		
5,946	Pay	5,830	
1,090	Dearness Allowance	900	
(2) Lower Subordinate Staff—			
130	Pay	
	Other Allowances	190	
22,597	(b) Other Charges	13,870	
			20,790
<hr/>			
29,763	Total—Expenditure		20,790
...	Surplus		13,863
<hr/>			
29,763	Total		34,653

LACHHMAN DAS, Accounts Officer

Income 5	Actuals for 1970-71 6	7	1969-70 8
(COMMERCIAL DEPARTMENT)			
M.U. Hospital Drugs Store—			
Sale of Medicines	34,653		15,150
Total—Income	34,653		15,150
Deficit		14,613
Total	34,653		29,763

J. R. PASRICHA, Treasurer

BALANCE SHEET AS AT

As on 31-3-1970 1	Liabilities 2	As on 31-3-1971 3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
	Permanent Endowment—		
30,00,000	Capitalised value of investment made by the University under Section 7 of the Aligarh Muslim University Act XL 1920		30,00,000
30,00,000			
	Permanent Reserve Fund—		
10,05,831	H.E. Nizam's Donation	10,05,831	
2,78,578	Prince of Wales Science School Account	2,78,578	
1,39,027	Sir Syed Ahmed Memorial Fund	1,39,027	
55,333	Capital of M.A.O. College	55,333	
	Transfers from—		
4,51,147	Floating Reserve Fund	4,51,147	
70,084	Current Expenses Fund	70,084	
20,00,000			20,00,000
	Special Floating Reserve Fund—		
	Grants from ex-Princely States—		
2,48,479	Bhopal State grant for Science College	2,48,479	
50,000	H.H. the Ruler of Bhopal grant for Flying Club	50,000	
65,000	Bahawalpur State grant for General Buildings	65,000	
38,000	Mahmoodabad Grant for General Buildings	38,000	
4,01,479			4,01,479
	Endowments—		
...	Fazle Haque Waqf	4,500	
...	Shahjahanpur Waqf	1,150	
...	Badaun Waqf	550	
...			6,200

31ST MARCH, 1971

Assets 5	As on 31-3-1971 6	7	As on 31-3-1970 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Investments—			
Permanent Endowment	30,00,000		30,00,000
Permanent Reserve Fund	18,86,122		18,86,122
Special Floating Reserve Fund	37,676		31,476
Trust Fund	2,06,221		2,21,319
Depreciation Fund	1,18,878		5,70,939
Current Expenses Fund	...		49,589
Deposit Account	3,91,849		4,24,498
Provident Fund Account	33,52,266		36,65,585
Dr. Wali Mohd. Waqf Alal Aulad	50,000		1,50,000
		90,43,012	99,99,528
Fixed Deposits—			
Trust Fund	2,20,220		1,98,515
Depreciation Fund	3,08,657		...
Deposit Account	85,513		97,523
Provident Fund Account—			57,64,500
Scheduled Bank	56,14,500		...
Post Office	11,80,000		...
Dr. Wali Mohd. Waqf Alal Aulad	1,20,000		20,000
		75,29,890	60,80,538
Lands and Buildings—			
Permanent Reserve Fund	1,13,878		1,11,937
Special Floating Reserve Fund	9,03,296		8,22,537
Floating Reserve Fund	3,01,130		2,13,480
Trust Fund	1,50,802		1,06,946
Building Fund	1,23,25,534		1,23,63,921
College of Engineering and Technology Fund	9,43,845		9,42,587
Current Expenses Fund	23,560		20,872
Development Grant Account	3,95,83,961		3,64,27,696

BALANCE SHEET AS AT

As on 31-3-1970	Liabilities	As on 31-3-1971	
1	2	3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
1,89,000	Special Floating Reserve Fund—concl'd.		
	Capital Grants from U.G.C. for purchase of Evacuee Property	...	1,89,000
1,89,000			
	Donations for—		
21,376	Art Gallery by late Prof. Moinuddin.	21,376	
500	General Buildings	500	
15,877	Books	15,877	
37,753			37,753
	Miscellaneous—		
2,400	Interest on investment for Art Gallery	2,400	
1,600	Cost of Waqf House of Mr. Naziruddin Khan of Shahjahanpur	1,600	
99,754	Auchinleck Memorial Fund	99,754	
1,50,415	Polytechnic Account	1,50,415	
52,371	Miscellaneous Receipts	52,371	
3,06,540			3,06,540
	Employees deposits for purchase of land—		
674	Mr. Jan Mohd. Khan	674	
2,234	Mr. Inayatullah Kurelshy	...	
678	Mr. Niaz Ahmed Siddiqi	...	
3,586			674
9,38,358	Total—Special Floating Reserve Fund		9,41,646

31ST MARCH, 1971—contd.

Assets	As on 31-3-1971	As on 31-3-1970
5	6	7
	Rs.	Rs.
Lands and Buildings—concl'd.		
Deposit Account—		
Kuwait Government Grant	72,171	68,951
Ford Foundation Grant	19,77,922	19,77,922
J. & K. Government Grant	6,59,162	6,03,801
General Balance	90,607	58,173
Medical College Fund	63,30,507	67,98,191
Golden Jubilee Fund	9,833	...
		6,34,86,208
		6,05,17,014
Books—		
Development Grant Account	34,89,810	*29,00,901
Deposit Account—		
Ford Foundation Grant	39,956	39,956
		29,40,857
Equipment—		
Development Grant Account	1,55,10,221	1,37,63,764
Deposit Account—		
Kuwait Government Grant	5,214	5,214
Ford Foundation Grant	81,845	1,26,144
J. & K. Government Grant	9,949	9,949
	1,56,07,229	1,39,05,071
Furniture—		
Development Grant Account	21,52,588	17,92,335
Deposit Account—		
Kuwait Government Grant	1,335	1,335
Ford Foundation Grant	...	1,95,701
J. & K. Government Grant	51	51
Medical College Fund	...	17,502
	21,53,974	20,06,924

*Differs from the closing balance shown in the previous years' Balance Sheet by Rs. 24,727 due to *proforma* corrections since made.

BALANCE SHEET AS AT

As on 31-3-1970	Liabilities	As on 31-3-1971	
1	2	3	4
Rs		Rs	Rs.
3,49,960	Floating Reserve Fund— Capitalized Funds	3,49,960	
	Donations for—		
300	Compilation of Muslim University History	300	
434	Amir Khurshid Fund	434	
3,436	Qanoon-i-Majoodi Fund	3,436	
7,000	General Purposes	7,000	
3,61,130			3,61,130
	Trust Fund—		
1,07,773	General Scholarship Fund	1,07,773	
64,900	Arabic Scholarship Fund	63,750	
2,000	Poor Students Fund		
	Miscellaneous Endowments—		
43,948	Prizes and Medals—General	50,948	
11,000	Saifi Gold Medal	11,000	
2,000	Chhatari's Farnghat Saudi	2,000	
1,006	Col. M. Haider Khan Gold Medal	1,006	
1,000	Lady Ali Shah Gold Medal	1,000	
500	Sir Ali Imam Medal	500	
3,000	Dr. Radha Krishnan's Prize	3,000	
2,800	Dr. H. C. Agarwal Memorial Gold Medal	2,800	
2,000	Begum Khurshid Nurul Hasan Memorial Gold Medal	2,000	
7,500	Endowment from Indo-Iranian Society for institution of Omar Khayyam and Faizy Gold Medals	7,500	
	Dr. Munibur Rehman Prize for V. M. Hill Students	4,107	
	Dr. K. C. Chakkoo's Endowment for Survey Prize	1,500	
	Mejaz Memorial Medal	987	
	Muzaffer Husain Cricket Medal	506	
	Hakim Abdul Latif Gold Medal	2,000	
24,700	Saifi Education Trust		
50,000	Sir Abdur Rauf Scholarship for Engineering Students	50,000	
3,339	Sir Azirullah Scholarship	3,339	
2,100	Sir William Marris Scholarship	2,100	

31ST MARCH, 1971—Contd

Assets	As on 31-3-1971	As on 31-3-1970
5	6	7
	Rs.	Rs.
Loans and Advances—		
Deposit Account—		
Advances for studies abroad	4,517	16,541
Advances for purchase of Bicycles	58,306	49,000
Advances for purchase of Fans and Electric Accessories	38,442	
Festival Advances	1,05,410	1,22,530
		2,06,675
Provident Fund Account—		1,88,071
House Building Advances		3,83,974
Medical College Fund—		
Advances for Medical Studies		25,305
		32,429
Total—Loans and Advances		6,20,954
		3,92,389
Inter-Fund Advances—		
From General Fund to—		
Development Grant Account		3,39,654
Medical College Fund	20,340	2,21,938
Provident Fund Account		2,30,500
		7,92,092
From Development Grant Account to—		
General Fund		1,91,559
From Deposit Account to—		
General Fund	65,037	14,536
Development Grant Account	1,07,625	96,278
Medical College Fund	1,05,000	1,05,000
		2,15,814
From Medical College Fund to—		
Provident Fund Account		5,000
From Dr. Wali Mohd. Waqf Alal Aulad to—		
Medical College Fund		5,000
Total—Inter-Fund Advances		7,92,571
		10,17,906

BALANCE SHEET AS AT

As on 31-3-1970 1	Liabilities 2	3	As on 31-3-71 4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
	Trust Fund—concl.		
	Miscellaneous Endowments—concl.		
1,000	Sir Shah Mohd. Sulaiman Scholarship	1,000	
1,75,000	Sirohi Waqf Scholarship	1,75,000	
1,967	A. A. Saroor Scholarship	1,967	
...	Khaliifa Umaruddin Scholarship	10,000	
...	Dr. Mohd. Ishaque Scholarship	14,000	
...	Mushir Fatima Scholarship	3,000	
...	Habibullah Scholarship	43,856	
30,000	Hamiduddin Scholarship	30,000	
			5,96,639
71,224	Income and Expenditure Account		93,297
6,13,757	Total—Trust Fund		6,89,936
9,05,778	Depreciation Fund		6,86,159
1,23,69,390	Building Fund		1,23,25,534
9,43,845	College of Engineering and Technology Fund		9,43,845
	Miscellaneous Reserves and Credit Balances—		
19,000	Girls High School Reserve Fund	11,326	
40,099	Women's College Reserve Fund	29,675	
9,404	Women's College Security Deposit Account	9,404	
6,000	Women's College Hostel Account	8,000	
1,500	Somalian Women's Students' Hostel Account	1,500	
11,926	National Defence Fund	109	
8,194	Agriculture College Fund	8,194	
2,593	Library Deposit Account	4,107	
579	Foreign Students' Welfare Fund	579	
6,785	Royalty—History Department	6,785	
	Advances from—		
84,864	Development Grant Account	4,91,569	
34,500	Boarding House Account	84,864	
14,536	N.R.S.C.	34,500	
35,000	Deposit Account	66,037	
	Scholarship Account	35,000	

31st March, 1971—contd.

Actuals 5	As on 31-3-1971 6	As on 31-3-1971 7	As on 31-3-1970 8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Permanent Advances		19,918	37,381
Miscellaneous Advances and Debit Balances—			
General Fund—			
Advance on Account		1,93,968
Outstanding Dues from Students	1,82,297		1,82,297
Advance to Dawakhana Tibbiya College	8,714		8,714
Advance to General Education Centre for Publication of Books	7,661		7,661
Advance to Girl's High School		4,000
Advance to Saifi Education Trust	2,395		...
Salary Advance Account		5,531
Salaries Cheques	42,377		74,813
Stock Suspense	2,90,156		2,68,815
Coal Suspense	29,663		29,665
Suspense Account		9,555
Security Deposit of Agriculture Farm with Hydel Division, Roorkee	2,500		2,500
National Service Corp Account		26,452
Accumulated Deficit of the University to end of 1950-51	9,30,450		9,30,450
Loss of cash in Building Department (not written off)	5,637		5,637
Unclassified payments made by the Bank	1,27,364		1,27,364
Students' Aid Fund	28,060		..
Medical College Hospital Account—			
Deficit as on 31-3-1970	Rs. 3,33,037		
Add Deficit during the year	Rs. 12,86,599		
	16,19,636	32,76,912	3,33,037
			22,10,459

BALANCE SHEET AS AT

As on 31-3-1970		As on 31-3-1971	
1	2	3	4
Rs.	Liabilities	Rs.	Rs.
	Miscellaneous Reserves and Credit Balances—concl.		
44,907	Student's Aid Fund		
88,520	Professional Tax Account	1,62,417	
42,474	Income Tax Account	13,713	
	Boy's/Girls' Fund—		
20,859	A.M.U. City High School	23,105	
40,845	A.M.U. Girls High School	43,808	
2,533	A.M.U. City Branch School	3,573	
45,730	Unclassified Credits afforded by the Bank	45,730	
90,070	Recoveries Suspense	1,16,756	
66,647	Miscellaneous Accounts	47,017	
	Suspense Account	48,898	
	National Service Corps Accounts	70,715	
	Revenue and Expenditure Account—		
	(Maintenance Block Grant)		
19,93,929	Surplus as on 31-3-1970	Rs. 19,93,929	
	Less deficit during the year	Rs. -9,96,545	
		9,97,384	23,64,765
27,11,494			
2,38,43,752	Total—General Fund		2,33,13,015
	Development Grant Account—		
	Capital Grants from the University Grants Commission for—		
3,69,11,289	Buildings	4,07,91,397	
*31,39,314	Books	34,34,731	
1,44,40,288	Equipment	1,50,14,615	
18,70,112	Furniture	23,35,927	
	Deposit account of the grant received from Indian Council of Scientific and		
66,171	Industrial Research	28,343	
	Deposit account of the grant received from Indian Council of Agricultural		
13,802	Research	18,910	

*Differs from the closing balance shown in the previous years' Balance Sheet by Rs. 22,62,700 due to proforma corrections since made.

BALANCE SHEET AS AT

Assets		As on 31-3-1971		As on 31-3-1970	
5		6	7	8	
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
	Miscellaneous Advances and Debit Balances—contd.				
	Development Grant Account—				
	Deposit account of the grant received from U.G.C. for Scholarships to Girls' Polytechnic Students	3,630		6,323	
	Revenue and Expenditure Account—				
	Amount over spent on 31-3-1970	Rs. 6,96,557			
	Deduct amount short spent during the year	Rs. -2,16,451			
		4,80,106	4,83,736	*6,96,557	
				7,02,880	
	Deposit Account—				
	Old outstanding account	15,913		15,913	
	Security Deposit with the U.P. State Electricity Board	81,500		81,500	
	Deposit account of the grants received under PL-480 programme for—				
	Studies on Plant Parasitic Nematodes associated with vegetable crops in U.P. (Botany Department)	581		13,580	
	Powdery Mildew Resistance in Cucurbits (Botany Department)	3,812			
	Deposit account of the grant received from the Banking Commission for Survey of Small Scale Industries (Economics Department)	7,670			
	National Service Corps Account			5,000	
	Advance to Agriculture Farm	4,345		4,904	
	Blind School Revenue Account			996	
	N.C.C. Account			908	
	Fikro Nazar Magazine Account			567	
	Agriculture Farm Revenue Account			374	

*Differs from the closing balance shown in the previous years' Balance Sheet by Rs. 2,100 due to proforma corrections since made.

BALANCE SHEET AS AT

As on 31-3-1970	Liabilities	As on 31-3-1971	
1	2	3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
	Development Grant Account—concl'd.		
	Deposit account of the grants received from University Grants Commission for—		
65,291	Senior/Junior Research Fellowships	22,567	
21,217	Post Graduate Research in Humanities and Sciences	39,446	
13,331	Deposit account of the grant received from Government of India for Research Training Scholarships	42,407	
2,903	Deposit account of the grants received from Government of India for—		
23,345	Research in Unani Medicine at Tibbiya College	2,545	
	Literary Research Unit at Tibbiya College	1,168	
4,467	Deposit account of the grant received from U.P. Government for Furniture for University School	4,467	
	Advances from—		
3,39,654	General Fund		
96,278	Deposit Account	1,09,625	
3,000	General Education Centre Account	3,000	
31,536	Recoveries Suspense	62,513	
1,751	Suspense Account.	4,651	
			6,19,16,314
5,70,45,749	Total—Development Grant Account		6,19,16,314
	Deposit Account—		
21,94,317	Ford Foundation Grant	21,94,317	
2,40,000	Capital grant received from U.G.C. for General Education Centre		
1,00,000	Donation from Prime Minister of Kuwait	1,00,000	
	Capital grants received from Jammu and Kashmir Government for—		
50,000	Renovation of Sir Syed House	50,000	
10,000	Purchase of Equipment for N.R.S.C. Club	10,000	
6,04,500	Construction of Kashmir House	6,04,500	
32,320	Overhead tank and purchase of Furniture	32,320	

BALANCE SHEET AS AT

Assets	As on 31-3-1971	As on 31-3-1970
5	6	7
	Rs.	Rs.
Miscellaneous Advances and Debit Balances—concl'd.		
Deposit Account—concl'd.		
Electricity Department Account (Old)	33,874	70,725
Advance to Tibbi Society	960	..
Income and Expenditure Account of Production Centre—		
Costume Design and Dress Making	8,250	..
Electronics	3,599	..
Income and Expenditure Account of M.U. Hospital Drugs Store—		
Deficit as on 31-3-1970	Rs. 41,894	
Deduct—Surplus during the year	Rs.—13,863	41,894
M.U. Golden Jubilee Account	30,000	2,14,535
		2,36,361
Medical College Fund—		
Salaries Cheques	..	11,663
Total—Miscellaneous Advances and Debit Balances		39,75,183
Cash Balances—		
General Fund—		
State Bank of India, Karachi	731	731
State Bank of India, Aligarh		2,62,849
Development Grant Account	2,04,429	14,58,173
Deposit Account	65,954	4,08,478
Provident Fund Account—		
Bank Current Account	2,84,517	
Post Office Savings Bank Account	2,84,606	2,67,703
Cash in hand	5	..
Medical College Fund	1,695	..
Dr. Walia Mohd. Waqf Alal Aulad	21,130	12,153
Golden Jubilee Fund	95,176	..
		9,58,243
		24,10,087

Actuals	As on 31-3-1971		As on 31-3-1970
5	6	7	8
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

As on 31-3-1970		As on 31-3-1971	
1	2	3	4
Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
	Deposit Account—concl'd.		
2,119	Interest on Ford Foundation	..	
9	Investment Account	..	
354	Old Boy's Association Account	..	
742	Non-Teaching Staff Association Account	..	
13	Salaries Cheques	..	
529	Salary Advances	..	
15,939	Professional Tax Account	..	
933	Provident Fund Recoveries Suspense	..	
92	Agriculture Receipts Suspense	..	
4,107	Income Tax Account	..	
10,000	Dr. Munibur Rehman donation for V.M. Hall Students	..	
987	Khalida Umaruddin Scholarship Account	..	
506	Majaz Memorial Medal	..	
1,500	Muzaffar Husain Cricket Medal	..	
	Dr. K.C. Chakko's Endowment for Survey Prize	..	
			41,83,400
46,57,942	Total—Deposit Account		41,83,400
	Provident Fund Account—		
95,75,423	Provident Fund	1,11 04,868	
	Advances from—		
2,30,500	General Fund	..	
5,000	Medical College Fund	..	
			1,11,04,868
98 10,923	Total—Provident Fund Account		1,11,04,868
	Medical College Fund—		
	Donations from—		
16,05,000	States	16,05,000	
22,99,649	Individuals	22,99,649	
10,00,000	His Majesty the King of Saudi Arabia	10,00,000	
39,680	Rusi Mistry of Bombay	39,680	
13,723	Miss. E.G. Everest of England	13,723	
71,560	Capital grant from U.G.C. for electrification of old Physics Lab.	..	
	Advances from—		
2,21,938	General Fund	20,340	
1,05,000	Deposit Account	1,05,000	
5,000	Dr. Wali Mohd. Waqf Alal Aulad	..	
	Liabilities paid from—		
15,508	General Fund	..	
1,95,794	Development Grant Account	1,95,794	
1,025	Deposit Account	1,025	
2,17,617	Material at site Account	..	
1,795	Contractors' Security Deposits	1,795	
1,017	Recoveries Suspense	..	
10,46,940	Revenue and Expenditure Account—		
	Surplus as on 31-3-1970	Rs. 10,46,940	
	Add Surplus during the years	Rs. +28,561	
		10,75,501	
			63,57,507
68,41,246	Total—Medical College Fund		63,57,507
	Dr. Wali Mohd. Waqf Alal Aulad—		
1,75,749	Capital	1,81,899	
11,404	Income and Expenditure Account	9,231	
			1,91,130
1,87,153	Total—Dr. Wali Mohd. Waqf Alal Aulad		1,91,130
	Golden Jubilee Fund—		
	Donations for—		
..	Renovation of Sir Syed House	10,681	
..	Establishment of Sir Syed Academy	70	
..	Jubilee Scholarships	14,980	
..	Establishment of a new University School	36,272	
..	General purposes	43,006	
			1,05,009
..	Total—Golden Jubilee Fund		1,05,009
Actuals		As on 31-3-1971	As on 31-3-1970
5		6	7
		Rs.	Rs.
			Rs.

As on 31-3-1970	Liabilities	As on 31-3-1971
1	2	3
Rs.		Rs.
	Overdraft with Bank—	
	General Fund	5,64,705
58,754	Provident Fund Account
23,539	Medical College Fund
82,293		5,64,705
10,24,69,058	Total	10,77,35,948

LACHHMAN DAS, Accounts Officer

31st MARCH, 1971—Contd.

Assets	As on 31-3-1971	As on 31-3-1970
5	6	8
	Rs.	Rs.
Total	10,77,35,948	10,24,69,058

J. R. PASRICHA, Treasurer

AUDIT CERTIFICATE

I have examined the foregoing Accounts and the Balance Sheet of the Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh and obtained all the information and explanations that I have required and, subject to the observations in the separate Audit Report, I certify, as a result of my audit, that in my opinion these Accounts and the Balance Sheet are properly drawn up so as to exhibit a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, according to the best of my information and explanations given to me and as shown by the books of the Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh.

M. L. CHOPRA, Accountant General, Uttar Pradesh

ALLAHABAD :

The 14th July, 1972.

BANK RECONCILIATION STATEMENT AS ON 31-3-1971

	General fund	Development Grant Account	Deposit Account	Medical College Fund	Provident Fund Account
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Balance as per Accounts	—5,64,705	+2,05,429	+65,954	+1,695	+5,69,123A
Deduct—					
Remittances in Transit	—4,32,138	—3,28,431	—94,555	..	—4,14,280
Erroneous/unclassified debits by the Bank	—44,297	—11,422	—10,310	..	—3,854
Total	—10,41,140	—1,35,424	—88,911	+1,695	+1,50,989
Add—					
Uncashed Cheques	+24,08,141	+10,86,139	+2,13,293	+31,032	+1,67,642
Erroneous/unclassified credits by the Bank	+31,225	+25,259	+391	..	+8,235
Balance as per Bank Statement	+13,98,226	+9,75,974	+1,74,773	+32,727	+3,26,866B
			A Rs.	B Rs.	
Bank Current Account			+2,84,517	+42,260	
Post Office Savings Bank Account			+2,84,606	+2,84,606	
Total			+5,69,123	+3,26,866	

ANNEXURE TO THE BALANCE SHEET

Statement showing the details of various funds as at 31st March, 1971

Name of Fund	Investments including Fixed Deposits	Lands and Buildings	Books	Equipment
1	2	3	4	5
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Permanent Endowment	30,00,000
Permanent Reserve Fund	18,86,122	1,13,878
Special Floating Reserve Fund	37,676	9,03,296
Floating Reserve Fund	..	3,01,130
Trust Fund	4,26,441	1,50,802
Depreciation Fund	4,27,535
Building Fund	..	1,23,25,534
College of Engineering and Technology Fund	..	9,43,845
Current Expenses Fund	..	23,560
Total—General Fund	57,77,774	1,47,62,045
Development Grant Account	..	3,95,83,961	34,89,810	1,55,10,221
Deposit Account	4,77,362	27,99,862	39,956	97,008
Provident Fund Account	1,01,46,766
Medical College Fund	..	63,30,507
Dr. Wali Mohd. Waqf Alal Aulad	1,70,000
Golden Jubilee Fund	..	9,833
Grand Total	1,65,71,902	6,34,86,208	35,29,766	1,56,07,229

Furniture	Loans and Advances	Inter-Fund Advances	Miscellaneous Advances and Debit Balances	Cash Balance	Total
6	7	8	9	10	11
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
..	30,00,000
..	20,00,000
..	674	9,41,646
..	60,000	3,61,130
..	1,12,693	6,89,936
..	2,58,624	6,86,159
..	1,23,25,534
..	9,43,845
..	..	20,340	(a)33,16,830	—9,95,965	23,64,765
..	..	20,340	33,16,830	(b)—5,63,974	2,33,13,015
21,52,588	..	4,91,569	4,83,736	2,04,429	6,19,16,314
1,386	2,06,675	2,80,662	2,14,535	65,954	41,83,400
..	3,88,974	5,69,128	1,11,04,868
..	25,305	1,695	63,57,507
..	21,130	1,91,130
..	95,176	1,05,009
21,53,974	6,20,954	7,92,571	40,15,101	3,93,538	10,71,71,243

(a) Includes Rs. 39,918 on account of Permanent Advances.

(b) Includes Rs. 731 lying with the State Bank of India, Karachi.

श्रम मंत्रालय

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 नवम्बर, 1974

का० प्र० 3371.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषय के बारे में भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

और, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना वांछनीय समझती है ;

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7क के अधीन गठित औद्योगिक अधिकरण दिल्ली को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

अनुसूची

क्या भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक, नई दिल्ली के प्रबन्धतन्त्र की श्रीमती सन्तोष मलहोत्रा को 31 जनवरी, 1969 से पदच्युत करने की कार्रवाई न्यायोचित थी ? यदि नहीं, तो वह किस अनुतोष की हकदार है ?

[सं० एन० 12012/62/73-एल० प्रार०-3]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th November, 1974

S.O. 3371.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Reserve Bank of India and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Delhi, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi in dismissing Shrimati Santosh Malhotra with effect from the 31st January, 1969 was justified? If not, to what relief is she entitled?

[No. L-12012/62/73-LR. III]

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 15 नवम्बर, 1974

का० प्र० 3372.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की यह राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषयों के बारे में भारतीय स्टेट बैंक, मद्रास से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों तथा उनके कर्मियों के बीच एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना वांछनीय समझती है,

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7क और धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एक औद्योगिक अधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन अधिकारी श्री श्री टी० पलानियप्पन होंगे जिसका मुख्यालय मद्रास में होगा और उक्त विवाद को उक्त औद्योगिक अधिकरण को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

अनुसूची

क्या भारतीय स्टेट बैंक मद्रास के प्रबन्धकों की श्री पी० मृधुकृष्णन को बैंक की सेवा से 14 अप्रैल, 1969 से सेवा मुक्त करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित है ? यदि नहीं, तो कर्मचारी किस अनुतोष का हकदार है ?

[सं० एन०-12012/156/73-एल० प्रार०-3]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 15th November, 1974

S.O. 3372.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the State Bank of India, Madras and their workman in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed ;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A, and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Thiru T. Palaniappan shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Madras and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of the State Bank of India, Madras in discharging Shri P. Muthukrishnan, from the services of the bank with effect from the 14th April, 1969 is justified ? If not, to what relief is the employee entitled ?

[No. L-12012/156/73-LR. III]

का० प्र० 3373.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषयों के बारे में बैंक आफ महाराष्ट्र से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

और, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना वांछनीय समझती है ;

अतः, अब औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7क और धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7क के अधीन गठित औद्योगिक अधिकरण मुम्बई को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

अनुसूची

क्या बैंक आफ महाराष्ट्र, पूना के नियोजकों की शोलापुर स्थित नवी-पेट शाखा की झाड़ूकण श्रीमती ए० जी० दाबदे की सेवाएं 1 दिसम्बर, 1973 से समाप्त करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित है ? यदि नहीं, तो वह किस अनुतोष की हकदार है ?

[सं० एन०-12012/68/74-एल० प्रार०-3]

प्रार० कुंजीपापायाम, प्रवर सचिव

ORDER

S.O. 3373.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bank of Maharashtra and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed ;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Bank of Maharashtra, Poona in terminating the services of Smt. A. D. Dabade, Sweeper of Navi Peth Branch at Sholapur with effect from the 1st December, 1973 is justified ? If not, to what relief is she entitled ?

[No. L. 12012/68/74-LR. III]

New Delhi, the 9th December, 1974

S.O. 3374.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the arbitrator in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Beas Sutlej Link Project, Sundernagar and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 2nd December, 1974.

In the matter of arbitration under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in the dispute between the management of Beas Sutlej Link Project, Sundernagar and their workmen represented by the Beas Sutlej Link Workers Union, Sundernagar.

Representing the Management :

Sri B. K. Mukherjee,
SuperIntending Engineer,
Harabagh Tunnelling Circle.
Sundernagar.

Representing the Workmen :

Sri M. S. Toggar,
President,
Beas Sutlej Link Workers Union.
Sundernagar.

AWARD

The 25th November, 1974

By an agreement dated 31-8-1974, the management of Beas Sutlej Link Project and the above union agreed to refer the following dispute for my arbitration under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

"Whether the action of the management of Beas Sutlej Link Project Sundernagar in denying full wages for the period of Suspension from 11th April, 1972 to 29th December, 1972 to Sri Hari Ram, A.M.T.O.

is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?"

The said arbitration agreement was published vide Government of India, Ministry of Labour Notification No. L. 42012/34/74/LR. III, dated the 18th September, 1974.

The parties were called upon to furnish their written statements of the case but only the union send its written claims vide its letter No. 923-24, dated 11-10-1974. The arbitration proceedings were fixed for 25-11-1974 on which date both the parties duly attended.

Before the commencement of the arbitration proceedings on the 25th November, 1974, I held informal discussions with the parties and gave certain suggestions to them for an amicable settlement of the dispute. Thereafter the parties discussed the matter between themselves and reached to an amicable settlement. They accordingly filed a mutual settlement dated 25-11-1974 which has been marked Annexure 'A' to this Award and prayed that the Award may be given in terms thereof.

I have examined the terms of settlement mutually arrived at and find them fair and reasonable. Accordingly, I give my Award in terms of mutual settlement marked Annexure 'A' to this Award.

J. L. WADHI, Asstt. Lab. Comm.
Bareilly & Arbitrator.

ANNEXURE 'A'

BEFORE THE ASSISTANT LABOUR COMMISSIONER
(CENTRAL), BAREILLY AND ARBITRATOR.

In reference No. L. 42012/34/74/LR. III, dated 18-9-1974 Industrial dispute between the management of B.S.L. Project and B.S.L. Workers Union, Sundernagar over alleged harassment to Sri Hari Ram, M. T. Operator.

In the aforesaid industrial dispute the parties have reached to an amicable settlement on the following terms and conditions :—

(1) Shri Hari Ram, A.M.T.O. shall be paid full wages for the period of suspension from the 11th April, 1972 to 29th December, 1972.

(2) The said payment shall be paid by 31-1-1975.

The Hon'ble arbitrator is requested to give his Award in terms of the Settlement.

[No. L. 42012/34/74/LR. III]

M. S. TOGGAR,
Representing Workmen.

B. K. MUKHERJEE,
Representing Employer.

WITNESSES :

1. P. S. RANA

2. BABU LAL

25-11-1974

S.O. 3375.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Reserve Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 6th December, 1974.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL HEADQUARTERS AT KANPUR

Adjudication Case No. 32 of 1973

In the matter of an industrial dispute between the employers of the concern known as The Reserve Bank of India and their workmen.

Appearances :

For the workmen—Sri Gopishyam Nigam.

For the employer—1. Sri N. V. Sundaram. Additional
Legal Adviser.

2. Sri A. Q. Siddiqui, Personnel
Officer.

Industry : Banking.

District : Kanpur.

AWARD

By Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Rehabilitation, Department of Labour and Employment, Notification No. L. 12012/88/72/LR. III, dated December 18, 1972, the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7-A, and clause (d) of sub-section 1 of Section 10, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), constituted an Industrial Tribunal with Head Quarters at Kanpur and with myself as its Presiding Officer and referred the following matter of dispute for adjudication to the Tribunal :

MATTER OF DISPUTE

"Whether the action of the management of Reserve Bank of India, Kanpur in placing Shri B. P. Srivastava, Clerk Grade I under suspension from the 22nd June, 1970 to the 9th July, 1971 and inflicting a penalty of reduction of his pay by four stages is justified? If not, to what relief, is he entitled?"

2. The workman B. P. Srivastava was employed as Clerk Grade I in the Kanpur Branch of the Reserve Bank of India and the case of the Bank was that on 22-6-1970, at about 2.15 p.m., he grossly misbehaved with the Manager and thereby committed breach of discipline for which he could be dismissed under regulation 47 of the Reserve Bank of India (Staff) Regulations, 1948. Soon after the incident the Manager obtained the statements in writing of A. C. Verma, Staff Officer attached to medical section, K. R. G. Mudaliar, Personnel Officer, A. N. Misra, the acting Caretaker and K. L. Rohtagi about the incident and passed an order placing B. P. Srivastava under suspension with effect from the close of the business on 22nd June, 1970. Under the Staff Regulations the Manager had the authority to suspend, chargesheet and to take disciplinary action against B. P. Srivastava but as the Manager was personally involved in the incident, therefore, he referred the matter to the Chief Manager who by the order Ex. E-25, dated July 6, 1970, approved the order of suspension and directed that the workman would continue to be under suspension pending the completion of the disciplinary proceedings proposed to be instituted against him. In this order it was also said that during the period of suspension, the workman shall receive subsistence allowance equal to his substantive pay plus 25 per cent of the substantive pay in terms of paragraph 144 of the Aiyer Award. The chargesheet of which Ex. E-27 is the office copy, was framed by the Chief Manager on July 6, 1970 and was served on the workman in the middle of July 1970. He was required to submit his explanation by 22nd July, 1970 and the time was probably extended and the explanation Ex. E-6 dated 1st August, 1970 was sent by post and was received in the Central Office of the Bank at Bombay on 3rd August. In this explanation all that he said about the alleged incident of 22nd June was "I hereby deny all the allegation made against me in the above memorandum." The Chief Manager, being

not satisfied with the explanation, appointed Sri S. K. Ghosh, enquiry officer, Reserve Bank of India, Central Office, as Enquiry Officer to hold an enquiry. This order is Ex. E-7 dated August 14, 1970 and Ex. E-8 dated 3rd September, 1970 shows that the workman requested that ".....a copy of the original complaint (on the basis of which the chargesheet has been issued) along with the names and statements of bank's witnesses....." be supplied to him and Ex. E-29 dated 27th October, 1970 shows that copies of the complaint and the statements were supplied and on 16th November, 1970 he was also informed that if he wishes to be defended by a representative of any registered trade union of the Reserve Bank Employees, he should intimate his name by 9th November, 1970.

3. The time for submitting the name of the representative was subsequently extended and the date fixed for enquiry was cancelled at the request of the workman and Ex. E-10, dated 14th November, 1970, shows that the workman requested the Chief Manager that the copies of the documents mentioned in Ex. E-10 may be supplied to him. This request of his was turned down by the Chief Manager by the order dated 24-11-1970. A further application Ex. E-11 was submitted by the workman on 25th November, 1970 and this application was also rejected by the Chief Manager and Ex. E-12 shows that on 2nd December, 1970 the workman nominated Pt. Shri Kant Bhushan, Advocate, Kanpur, who was the President of the Reserve Bank Workers Association, Kanpur, as his representative to defend him. Ex. E-13 dated 9th December, 1970 shows that the workman again demanded some documents and some information from the Chief Manager and Ex. E-32 dated 19th December, 1970 shows that this application of the workman was also rejected by the Chief Manager.

4. Thereafter 15th January, 1971 was fixed for conducting the enquiry and the enquiry commenced on that date in presence of the workman and he was represented by his chosen representative Pt. Shri Kant Bhushan. The enquiry was conducted from day to day and concluded on 21st January, 1971. The Bank examined the Manager P. R. Nangia, the Personnel Officer P. R. G. Mudaliar and the acting Caretaker A. N. Misra and then closed its case and thereafter the workman examined two witnesses, G. P. Saxena and G. L. Srivastava, and then examined himself in defence. Each witnesses of the Bank was cross examined at length by the workman's representative and the workman's witnesses and the workman were cross examined by the enquiry officer himself. After the statement of the witnesses had been recorded the workman was given the option of submitting his arguments orally or in writing and he preferred the latter course and was allowed to file written arguments by 27th Jan. but this time was subsequently extended at his request and he filed the written arguments on 9-2-71 and the enquiry officer submitted his report Ex. E-14 on 20th March 1970.

5. The enquiry officer held that the charge of misconduct was proved against Srivastava and his report was considered by the Chief Manager and he passed the order Ex. E-16 on 18-6-1971. He agreed with the conclusions arrived at by the enquiry officer and as for the penalty to be imposed, he, for the reasons given in the order, was inclined to take the view that Srivastava should be dismissed but, having regard to some extenuating circumstances mentioned in the order, he felt that ".....the ends of justice will be met if Shri Srivastava's pay is reduced by four stages from the date of passing the final order in his case, the reduction having the effect of postponing his future increments." A copy of this order was served on Srivastava and he was called upon to show cause against the action proposed to be taken against him and on 1st July, 1971 Srivastava submitted the application Ex. E-17 requesting that he be given a month's time to submit his representation and that he be reinstated and time was given to him and he was reinstated by the order Ex. E-18 dated 9-7-1971. Srivastava submitted his representative and the entire matter was considered afresh by the Chief Manager and he passed the order Ex. E-19 on 18-11-1971. He recorded his satisfaction that the charge of misconduct was proved against Srivastava and that the punishment proposed by him was suitable and passed the final order accordingly. Srivastava appealed but his appeal was rejected on 27-4-1972 by the Deputy Governor of the Reserve Bank of India.

6 The case of the employer is that a serious misconduct, for which he could be dismissed, was committed by Srivastava that a fair and proper enquiry was held and the charge of misconduct was proved, that a lenient view was taken in imposing the penalty and that therefore, its action was justified.

7 Srivastava in an argumentative written statement and rejoinder denied that the alleged misconduct was committed by him. He was the Secretary of the Reserve Bank Workers Organisation which was not recognised by the bank and the latter recognised the Reserve Bank of India Employees Association and the case of Srivastava was that some office bearers of the Association prevailed upon the Manager to make false allegations against him. This plea was taken by him in the enquiry also but was rejected by the enquiry officer. He further pleaded that there was no fair and proper enquiry and he was denied the opportunity of properly defending himself. Some other pleas have also been taken by him and they shall be mentioned presently. As for his suspension he has pleaded that it was unnecessary and unjustified and was malafide.

8 On the pleadings of the parties the following additional issue was framed.—

- (1) Whether the penalty was imposed on the workman on the charge being proved in a domestic enquiry conducted properly and fairly?

FINIDINGS

9 Issue(1) The employer filed the enquiry proceedings and certain other documents and the workman examined himself in support of his case.

10 Before I examine the question whether a fair and proper enquiry was held, I may record my findings on some points of law raised by the representative of Srivastava. I have already said that Srivastava was suspended by the Manager and he reported the matter to the Chief Manager who confirmed the order of suspension, charged Srivastava, appointed the enquiry officer and passed the final order and the contention of Srivastava's representative was that the Chief Manager had no inherent and independent powers to do all this. Under the Regulations disciplinary action can be taken by the competent authority and Regulation 3(e) says that competent authority means Governor in the case of officers and the Manager in other cases. Srivastava was not an officer and his representative contended that, therefore, disciplinary action could be taken against Srivastava by the Manager and not by the Chief Manager. I do not agree with this contention. The Manager was Subordinate to the Chief Manager and as disciplinary action against Srivastava could be taken by the Manager, therefore, the Chief Manager also could exercise this power and I further add that in the instant case it was proper that disciplinary proceedings be initiated and final orders be passed by the Chief Manager and not by the Manager as the latter was personally involved in the incident which was the subject matter of the charge against Srivastava.

11 The next submission of Srivastava's representative was that Sri S K Ghosh could not be appointed enquiry officer under the Regulations. Regulation 4/ (3) provides

"The enquiry under this regulation and the procedure with the exception of the final order may be delegated in case the enquiry against whom proceedings are taken is an officer to the Manager and in the cases of other employees to any officer in Class I."

and the contention was that there was nothing in the evidence to show that Sri S K Ghosh was Class I Officer of the Bank. The employer's representative contended, and in my opinion rightly, that this plea was not taken by Srivastava in his written statement or in the rejoinder and therefore it was not at all necessary for the bank to prove that Sri S K Ghosh was a Class I Officer of the Bank. In the order appointing Sri S K Ghosh as enquiry officer he was described as enquiry officer Reserve Bank of India and presumably he was a Class I Officer and as Srivastava did not plead that Sri S K Ghosh was not a Class I Officer, therefore it was not open to him to maintain that Sri S K Ghosh could not be appointed enquiry officer. It was also significant that no such objection was taken by him

when Sri S K Ghosh was appointed enquiry officer. I, therefore, reject the contention that Sri S K Ghosh could not be appointed enquiry officer.

12 Now before I examine the question whether the enquiry was conducted fairly and properly, I may state what the charge against Srivastava was. In the chargesheet it was said that on 22nd July, 1970, at about 2.15 p.m. the manager P K Nigam went to inspect the dispensary where a large number of employees had assembled and there was no proper seating arrangement for them. When he reached the dispensary some of the employees advanced towards him and complained that there was no proper seating arrangement in the dispensary and the Manager explained to them that he had come for the specific purpose of understanding their problems and that it was not possible to provide seat for each and every employee if so many employees visited the dispensary at the same time. Srivastava was one of the patients and he was standing close by and he started talking to the Manager in a very offensive manner. Sri Srivastava told the Manager that the Reserve Bank was a nationalised institution and not a grocery shop and that he (Manager) would have to make arrangements to seat all the employees. As the Manager was talking to other employees at that time and as the manner of talking of Sri Srivastava was offensive the Manager told Sri Srivastava that if he talked in that manner he was not prepared to hear what he (Sri Srivastava) had to say. Thereupon Sri Srivastava started shouting that the Manager was not a 'Taat Sahib' and that he (Manager) would have to listen to him (Sri Srivastava). Thereafter the Manager moved into the room where the medicines are dispensed and Sri Srivastava followed him there also and asked the Manager to explain as to why he (Manager) was not prepared to talk to him (Sri Srivastava). The Manager informed Sri Srivastava that he (Sri Srivastava) had insulted him and showed great disrespect to the Manager and that he had no authority to say that he would not talk to him (Sri Srivastava) but what he had said was that if Srivastava continued in that manner he (the Manager) was not prepared to listen what he (Sri Srivastava) had to say. Then Sri Srivastava said that he had seen many Managers and that the Manager was a 'Taat Sahib' and that he (Sri Srivastava) would see how the Manager did not talk to him. Sri Srivastava went on to say that the Manager was a product of the British Regime and that in a Democratic set up he would have to listen to him. The Manager then went to the room where the Bank's Medical Officers sit and while he was talking to the Bank's Medical Officer, Sri Srivastava walked in there also and shouted at the top of his voice at the Manager, 'Jhootha Manager', 'Jhootha Manager', 'Jhootha Manager', thereby creating a scene in the Dispensary. Owing to the disorderly scene created by Sri Srivastava the Bank's Medical Officer was prevented from discharging his duties for some time. On these facts Srivastava was charged with having committed an act of misconduct and breach of office discipline by behaving with the Manager in the aforesaid manner. Srivastava was asked to submit his explanation and all that he said about the allegations in his explanation Ex P 6 dated 1.8.70 was "I hereby deny all the allegations made against me in the above memorandum." However, the counter version set up by him in the enquiry was (See the statement made by him before the enquiry officer "At about 2.15 p.m. Manager came with the Personnel Officer. As the Manager entered the hall people stepped towards him and started telling their grievances. Manager was standing just at a distance of roughly 2 yards from the entrance door leading to the BMO chamber. I was standing there with the help of a table. As I was much acquainted with the problem I thought I should be heard by the Manager to explain him every thing. As I was not well on that date I only said a few words. I told him that Sir I want to talk to you and that I had been waiting for long without the seating arrangement. I told him that I was having a fractured leg. As I talked like this others kept out. Manager told that it was not possible to make seating arrangement for 500 people if they came at one time. I had hardly added one sentence that, Sir because you are the head of the family you should kindly see that patients are seated and the Management must arrange for the seats at least. At this stage people also supported me. Thereafter the Manager made his way to the BMO's chamber. Some people followed him but because I was not in a position to walk, nor there was any need for my going inside, because I had said what I had

to say, I remained in the waiting hall. After the Manager had gone into the B.M.O.'s chamber, the Personnel Officer stayed outside and he talked to me also saying 'Don't worry, we are arranging for the benches' and he talked to others also Sarvshri P. R. Bhattacharya and K. N. Srivastava had also reached by that time. They also talked to the Personnel Officer. It did not take more than 2/3 minutes when the Manager came out of the B.M.O.'s Chamber and then taking the Personnel Officer along with him, he left the hall. Sarvshri P. R. Bhattacharya and K. N. Srivastava, President and member of the local Reserve Bank of India Employees' Association, respectively, followed the Manager."

13. The enquiry Officer, as I have already said, rejected the case of Srivastava and held that the allegations made against him in the chargesheet were fully proved. In paras 23 and 24 of the written statement Srivastava pleaded that the copy of the complaint on the basis of which the chargesheet was issued was not supplied to him along with chargesheet and thus he was deprived of the opportunity of submitting a complete explanation at the earliest opportunity, but there is no substance in this Plea. It was not at all necessary to supply copies of the complaint etc. to Srivastava along with the chargesheet. The facts were fully stated in the chargesheet itself and thus Srivastava had the full opportunity of submitting his explanation and, therefore, I see no substance in this plea.

14. The next submission of Srivastava's representative was that the enquiry officer acted as a prosecutor and not as an enquiry officer. This assertion is based on the fact that the Bank was represented before the enquiry officer by any one and the bank's witnesses were examined and Srivastava and his witnesses were cross examined by the enquiry officer himself, I see nothing wrong in this procedure. The enquiry officer had to ascertain whether the allegations in the chargesheet were true and, therefore, he could examine the witnesses who were sent to him by the Bank for giving evidence. A list of witnesses to be examined by the Bank had been furnished to Srivastava and those witnesses were examined by the enquiry officer. I have gone through the statements of the witnesses of the Bank and I find that no leading questions were put to any of them by the enquiry officer and Srivastava's representative was given full opportunity to cross examine them. After recording the statements of the Bank's witnesses the enquiry officer gave an opportunity to Srivastava to examine witnesses in defence and Srivastava examined two witnesses and then himself gave evidence in defence. All the three were cross examined by the enquiry officer and thus he could certainly do in order to ascertain the facts and reach a correct conclusion. I, therefore, see nothing wrong in the procedure adopted by the enquiry officer. The enquiry commenced before the enquiry officer on 15th Jan. 1971 and the enquiry proceedings show that Srivastava's representative requested that if the bank wanted to rely on some documents, copies of those documents may be furnished to him and he was told that no documents on the side of the Bank would be forthcoming. The enquiry proceedings show that Srivastava was cross examined by the enquiry officer with reference to two documents. One of them was an application addressed by Srivastava to the Manager on 23rd June 1970 and the second was a circular in Hindi dated 24th June 1970 issued by the Organization of which Srivastava was the General Secretary. Srivastava as W.W.1 stated "Circular" dated 24-6-70 and letter dated 23-6-70 were produced by the enquiry officer during my cross examination and I do not know how the enquiry officer obtained them. I objected that I should not be questioned with reference to these documents but my objection was overruled. I requested the enquiry officer that those documents be placed on the record but this was not done by him. My request for copies of those documents was turned down. I wanted time to rebut those documents but I was not granted time to rebut them. The enquiry proceedings are correct excepting that some of my oral objections do not appear in it." In my opinion, even though the bank did not intend to rely on any documentary evidence to prove the allegations against Srivastava, there was nothing wrong on the part of the enquiry officer in cross examining Srivastava with reference to the aforesaid two documents. One passage in his application was read to him and he admitted it and then he was given an opportunity of submitting his explanation. The circular was shown to him and he admitted that this circular was issued by the Organization of which he was the Secretary and then further questions on the circular were

put to him. The bank did not rely on these two documents to prove the allegations against Srivastava but they were utilised by the enquiry officer in cross examining Srivastava. What was stated in these documents tended to show that the defence of Srivastava was not true and the enquiry officer could use these two documents in cross examining him. The inferences that could possibly be drawn from what was stated in these two documents were put to Srivastava and he was given full opportunity to give his explanation. These two documents must have been supplied to the enquiry officer by the Bank and there was nothing objectionable in the enquiry officer utilising them in the manner he utilised them. The supply of the copies of these two documents to Srivastava before the commencement of the enquiry was not at all necessary. The enquiry proceedings do not show that Srivastava or his representative objected to the use of these documents by the enquiry officer in cross examining Srivastava, that a request was made that these documents be placed on the record and that copies of these documents be supplied and as every page of the proceedings was signed by Srivastava and his representative, as admitted by Srivastava in his cross examination, therefore, I am not prepared to accept what was stated by Srivastava in this connection. Srivastava never moved an application that he may be given opportunity "to rebut those documents". Having carefully considered the matter, I am satisfied that there is no substance in the plea that the application and the circular could not and should not have been utilised by the enquiry officer for the purpose for which they were utilised by him.

15. The enquiry commenced before the enquiry officer on 15-1-71 and by 9-12-70 Srivastava had moved several applications that copies of certain documents be furnished to him and copies of some documents were furnished to him but his applications in respect of the rest were rejected by the Chief Manager and Srivastava's representative contended that as copies of some documents were not furnished to Srivastava, therefore, Srivastava was unable to defend himself properly. In my opinion, there is no substance in this complaint. The Bank was not bound to furnish copies of all the documents. Srivastava could have requested the enquiry officer to sum on those documents from the Bank but this was never done by him. No attempt was made to show that those documents were really relevant and were material for the purposes of the defence and Srivastava was prejudiced as copies of those documents were not supplied to him.

16. The next submission of Srivastava's representative was that the findings recorded by the enquiry officer were wrong and perverse and the penalty imposed was very harsh. Relying on Section 11-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, as interpreted by the Supreme Court in *Workmen v. Firestone Tyre & Rubber Co.*, (1973) 3 Supreme Court Reports page 587, he contended that the evidence adduced by the parties before the enquiry officer should be re-examined and reassessed by this court and it should be held that the charge was not proved against Srivastava. In my opinion, the Bank's representative has rightly contended that Section 11-A was not applicable in this case at all. Section applies "Where an industrial dispute relating to the discharge or dismissal of a workman has been referred to a Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal for adjudication....." and as Srivastava was neither discharged nor dismissed, therefore, it is evident that this section was not applicable in this case at all. When this section does not apply the settled law is that the Industrial Tribunal or Labour Court has no jurisdiction to sit in appeal over the decision of the employer as an appellate body, that interference in the decision of the employer will be justified only when the findings arrived at in the enquiry are perverse or the management is guilty of victimization unfair labour practice or *mala fide* and that the punishment imposed by the employer cannot be interfered with by the Tribunal except in cases where the punishment is so harsh as to suggest victimization. I cannot, therefore, re-examine and reassess the evidence and, in my opinion, it will be perverse to say that the findings recorded by the enquiry officer were perverse. All the evidence that was adduced before the enquiry officer was carefully considered by him and he has given good reasons for recording the findings recorded by him. There was enough evidence to enable the enquiry officer to hold that the allegations against Srivastava were fully proved. It cannot be said by any stretch of imagination that the findings recorded by the enquiry officer were perverse. The report of the enquiry officer was carefully considered by the Chief Manager also and

he agreed with his findings. Srivastava submitted written arguments in 66 typed pages and the material questions raised by him were considered by the Chief Manager and he came to the conclusion that the allegations against Srivastava were proved.

17. Regulation 47(1), *inter alia*, provides that an employee of the bank who commits a breach of discipline or is guilty of any other act of misconduct, shall be liable to the penalties provided in clauses (a) to (e). The facts proved against Srivastava established that he committed a breach of discipline and was guilty of a serious act of misconduct and, therefore, any one of the penalties could be imposed on him. The penalty provided in clause (e) is "dismissal" and that provided in clause (c) is "degradation to a lower post or grade or to a lower stage in his incremental scale" and action under this clause was taken against Srivastava and reduction of his pay by 4 stages was ordered. This could be done and, having regard to the facts proved, it was, in my opinion, not possible to say that the punishment was so harsh as to suggest victimization. The question of penalty was carefully considered by the Chief Manager and also by the Deputy Governor. His previous record and all the extenuating circumstances were taken into consideration by them and, in my opinion, it was impossible to say that the penalty imposed was so harsh as to suggest victimization.

18. It was contended by Srivastava's representative that some other employees of the Bank had committed more serious misconduct than Srivastava but no action was taken against them as they were members of the Reserve Bank of India Employees Association which was recognised by the Bank and action was taken against Srivastava because he was the General Secretary of the Reserve Bank Workers Organization which the Bank did not recognise. He further urged that Srivastava was in fact chargesheeted at the instance of some office bearers of the Association. An attempt was made to establish these allegations in the enquiry also but they were rejected by the enquiry officer and, in my opinion, rightly. P. R. Nangia became the Manager of the Kanpur branch only on 15-3-70 and the misconduct was committed by Srivastava on 22nd June 1970. Even if some of his predecessors, as was attempted to be shown, were not strict in dealing with cases of misconduct, that does not mean that P. R. Nangia also should have been lenient and there is nothing to show that he ignored cases of misconduct and for the reasons alleged. There was no force in the contention that there was discrimination and, having regard to the entire evidence, I am satisfied that Srivastava was neither victimised nor the action of the Bank in chargesheeting him and in imposing the penalty that was imposed on him was *mala fide*. In the result I hold that the action of the Bank in inflicting a penalty of reduction of Srivastava's pay by 4 stages was justified.

19. As for the suspension of Srivastava pending enquiry, he could be suspended under Regulation 47 (4) by the officer empowered to pass the final order under the regulations. The final order against Srivastava could be passed by the Manager and therefore, he could suspend Srivastava. I see no substance in the plea taken in para 25 of the written statement that as the Manager was involved in the incident and was the complainant, therefore, he should not have passed the order of suspension. He had the authority to pass the order of suspension and his order was confirmed by the Chief Manager although such confirmation was not necessary. The mere fact that the Manager was the complainant did not disentitle him from passing the order of suspension. In para 21 it has been pleaded that the Manager should not have passed the order of suspension without obtaining the explanation of Srivastava and holding preliminary enquiry but there was no force in this plea also. The Manager was the eye-witness of the entire incident and it was not at all necessary that before passing the order of suspension he should have obtained the explanation of Srivastava and held a preliminary enquiry. I am unable to agree with the submission that suspension of Srivastava was not necessary. He could be dismissed for the misconduct committed by him and, in order to maintain discipline, the Manager, in my opinion, was right in suspending Srivastava pending the completion of the disciplinary proceedings. In para 29 of the written statement it had been pleaded "That in spite of the repeated demands by the workman the management with the help of the Enquiry Officer lingered on the matter without any cause evidently to cause financial losses beside physical and mental tortures to the workman concern-

ed with the dispute by way of continued suspension for an indefinite period." The necessary facts in this connection have already been stated by me. There was never any demand by Srivastava that the enquiry be expedited. On the other hand, he himself was responsible for most of the delay in the conclusion of the enquiry. The enquiry officer submitted his report on 30-3-71 and the show cause notice was issued to Srivastava on 18-6-71 and, in my opinion, there was no inordinate delay in issuing the show cause notice. However, in my opinion, the suspension of Srivastava after 18-6-71 was not justified. On 18-6-71 the Chief Manager came to the conclusion that the penalty of dismissal should not be imposed and, therefore, I think he should have passed an order on that date that Srivastava be reinstated. Srivastava was however, not reinstated till 9-7-71. Had the Chief Manager passed the order of reinstatement on 18-6-71, Srivastava could have joined on 19-6-71 and I hold that the suspension of Srivastava for the period from 19-6-71 to 8-7-71 was not justified.

20. In the result, my award is that the action of the Bank in inflicting the penalty that was inflicted on Srivastava was justified and his suspension also upto 18-6-71 was justified but his suspension for 20 days *i.e.* from 19-6-71 to 8-7-71 was not justified and for these 20 days he should get all the emoluments which he would have received had he joined his duties on 19-6-71. I leave the parties to bear their own costs.

Kanpur dated 30-11-74.

S. H. J. NAQVI, Presiding Officer.

[No L. 12012/88/72/LR III]

R. KUNJITHAPADAM, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 9 दिसम्बर, 1971

का० आ० 3376.—जोशिया भविष्य निधि और कुटुम्ब वेजन्स निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 13 की उपधारा (i) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारत सरकार के समस्त श्रम और रोजगार मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० आ० 2410 तारीख 31 अक्टूबर, 1962 को, जहाँ तक इका सार्वत्रिक श्री पी० एम० जाग से है, विरुद्धित करती है।

[सं० ए० 12016/9/74-पी० एफ० I]

New Delhi, the 9th December, 1974

S.O. 3376.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby rescinds the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Employment, No. S.O. 2810 dated the 31st August, 1962, in so far as it relates to Shri P.M. Joag.

[No. A-12016/8/74/PF-I]

का० आ० 3377.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि तथा कुटुम्ब वेजन्स निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 5B की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, और भारत सरकार के श्रम और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1345 तारीख 28 अप्रैल, 1973 को अधिसूचना करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री पी० एम० ठाकुराल के स्थान पर श्री के० एम० भट्ट को, केन्द्रीय भविष्य निधि आयुक्त को उसके कर्तव्यों का निर्वहन करने में सहायता देने के लिए, रामस्त श्रम, मणिपुर, त्रिपुरा, नागालैण्ड और मेघालय राज्यों और मिजोरम और अरुणाचल प्रदेश संघ राज्य क्षेत्रों के लिए, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० ए० 12016/9/74-पी० एफ० -1(i)]

S.O. 3377.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 5D of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India, in the late Ministry of Labour and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1345, dated the 28th April, 1973, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. M. Bhatt as the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner for the whole of the States of Assam, Manipur, Tripura, Nagaland and Meghalaya and the Union territories of Mizoram and Arunachal Pradesh to assist the Central Provident Fund Commissioner in the discharge of his duties, *vice* Shri D. S. Thukral.

[No. A-12016/9/74/PF-I(i)]

का० आ० 3378.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और कुटुम्ब पेंशन अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 5B की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व श्रम और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना संख्या का० आ० 492, तारीख 14 जनवरी, 1972 को अधिनियमित करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री बी० हेमचन्द्र राव को स्थान पर श्री ए० एम० सत्तनाथन को केन्द्रीय भविष्य निधि आयुक्त को उसके कर्तव्यों का निर्वहन करने में सहायता देने के लिए, समस्त केरल राज्य और पांडिचेरी संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के माहे क्षेत्र तथा लक्षद्वीप संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के लिए प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० ए० 12016/12/74-पी० एफ० 1 (i)]

S.O. 3378.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 5D of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 492, dated 14th January, 1972, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri A. S. Sattanathan as Regional Provident Fund Commissioner for the whole of the State of Kerala and the Mahe area of the Union territory of Pondicherry and the Union territory of Lakshadweep to assist the Central Provident Fund Commissioner in the discharge of his duties, *vice* Shri V. Hemachandra Rao.

[No. A-12016/12/74-PF-I(i)]

का० आ० 3379.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और कुटुम्ब पेंशन अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 13 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1447 तारीख 16 जून, 1956 को विरुद्धित करती है।

[सं० ए० 12016/5/74-पी० एफ० 1]

S.O. 3379.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby rescinds the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, No. S.R.O. 1447, dated the 16th June, 1956.

[No. A-12016/5/74/PF-I]

का० आ० 3380.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और कुटुम्ब पेंशन अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 13 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व श्रम और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 491, तारीख 11 जनवरी, 1972 को अधिनियमित करने हुए,

केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री ए० एम० सत्तनाथन को उक्त अधिनियम और उसके अधिनियम और उसके अधिनियम और उसके अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत केन्द्रिय सरकार के या उसके नियंत्रणाधीन किसी स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में या किसी रेल कम्पनी, महापत्तन, खान या तेल क्षेत्र या नियमित उद्योग से संबंधित किसी स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में या किसी ऐसे स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में जिसके एक से अधिक राज्य में विभाग या शाखाएं हैं, सम्पूर्ण केरल राज्य और पांडिचेरी संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के माहे क्षेत्र तथा लक्षद्वीप संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के लिए निरीक्षक नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० ए० 12016/12/74-पी० एफ० 1 (ii)]

S.O. 3380.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 491, dated the 14th January, 1972, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri A. S. Sattanathan to be an Inspector for the whole of the State of Kerala and the Mahe area of the Union territory of Pondicherry and the Union territory of Lakshadweep for the purposes of the said Act, and the Scheme and the Family Pension Scheme framed thereunder in relation to any establishment belonging to, or under the control of the Central Government or in relation to any establishment connected with a railway company, a major port, a mine or an oilfield or a controlled industry or in relation to an establishment having departments or branches in more than one State.

[No. A-12016/12/74/PF-I(ii)]

का० आ० 3381.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि तथा कुटुम्ब पेंशन अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 13 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1304, तारीख 27 अप्रैल, 1973 का अधिनियमित करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री के० एम० बहु का उक्त अधिनियम और उसके अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत किसी स्कीम और कुटुम्ब पेंशन स्कीम के अन्तर्गत केन्द्रिय सरकार के या उसके नियंत्रणाधीन किसी स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में या किसी रेल कम्पनी, महापत्तन, खान या तेल क्षेत्र या नियमित उद्योग से संबंधित किसी स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में या किसी ऐसे स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में जिसके एक से अधिक राज्य में विभाग या शाखाएं हैं, सम्पूर्ण असम, मणिपुर, त्रिपुरा, (1) नागालैंड और मेघालय राज्यों और मिज़ोरम और अरुणाचल प्रदेश संघ राज्य क्षेत्रों के लिए निरीक्षक नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० ए० 12016/9/74-पी० एफ० 1 (ii)]

राम प्रसाद नरुला, अवसर सचिव.

S.O. 3381.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1304, dated the 27th April, 1973, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. M. Bhatt to be an Inspector for the whole of the State of Assam, Manipur, Tripura, Nagaland and Meghalaya and Union territories of Mizoram and Arunachal Pradesh for the purposes of the said Act, and the scheme and the family pension scheme framed thereunder in relation to any establishment belonging to, or under the control of the Central Government or in relation to any establishment connected with a railway company, a major port, a mine or an oilfield or a controlled industry or in relation to an establishment having departments or branches in more than one State.

[No. A-12016/9/74/PF-I(ii)]

R. P. NARULA, Under Secy.